



2026:CGHC:12498



2026:CGHC:12498

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 5426 of 2024**

Smt. Manju Malakar W/o Sharad Aged About 55 Years President Of Janpad Panchayat Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.), At Present Resident Of Village Mudpar Chhote, Ward No. 5, Janpad Panchayat Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**... Petitioner****versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

**2** - Secretary Mines And Minerals, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

**3** - Collector Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**4** - Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

**5** - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**6** - Project Director District Village Development Administration Planning, District Sarangarh- Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**... Respondents**

|                      |   |                                         |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | : | Mr. Raghvendra Pradhan, Advocate.       |
| For Resp. No. 1 to 3 | : | Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Dy. Govt. Advocate. |
| For Respondent No. 4 | : | Mr. Satish Gupta, Advocate.             |



2026:CGHC:12498

**{Hon'ble Mr. Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi}**

**Order on Board**

**16/03/2026**

1. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that since out of total 99 various development works for different gram panchayats of Janpad Panchayat, Sarangarh, fund for 71 works have already been released by the Collector, Sarangarh, District Sarangarh- Bilaigarh and sent to Zila Panchayat, Sarangarh as per reply filed by respondent No. 4, therefore, petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with liberty to file better constituted petition, if occasion so arises.
2. Counsel for the respondents have no objection to allow the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner.
3. On due consideration, the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner is allowed. The petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the liberty as aforesaid.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid. No costs.

**Sd/-**

**(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)**

**Judge**