



2026:CGHC:9956

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 10366 of 2025**

1 - Lingraj Dewangan S/o Dhaniram Dewangan Aged About 45 Years Posted As - Head Master, Primary School (E-Cader) At Government Primary School, Bonda, Block- Saraipali Distt.- Mahasamund, R/o Ward No. 12, Saraipali, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner**Versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Collector District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

4 - District Education Officer District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

5 - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Saraipali, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

6 - Block Education Officer Block Saraipali, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Kishore Narayan, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Mr. Virendra Verma, Panel Lawyer

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**Order On Board****26/02/2026**

1. Petitioner has filed this petition seeking following relief (s) :-

“That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents authority to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of 1 Kramonnati Vetanman on completion of 10 years of services with effect from 21.10.2009 and 2nd kramonnati Vetan on 20 of service effect from with years 21.10.2019, in view of the order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court in the case of Smt. Sona Sahu vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others in Writ Appeal No. 261/2023 on 28.02.2024 and also in view of the circular dated 10.03.2017 issued by the State Government of Chhattisgarh.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly further be pleased to direct the respondents to give all the consequential benefits petitioner including the arrears of pay with interest @ 18% per annum from the date of the completion of 10 years of services for 1st kramonnati vetanman and 20 years of service for the 2nd kramonnati vetanman till the actual payment of 1st and 2nd Kramonnati Vetanman within a stipulated period of 30 days.

10.3 This Hon'ble Court may kindly further be pleased to direct the respondents to fix the present salary of the petitioner as a consequence of grant of 1st Kramonnati Vetanman which became due on 21.10.2009 and grant of 2nd kramonnati vetanman which became due on 21.10.2019.

10.4 In alternative, the Hon'ble Court may pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioner for grant of 1st and 2nd Kramonnati Vetanman in the light of the judgment order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court in the case of Smt. Sona Sahu vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others in Writ Appeal No. 261/2023 on 28.02.2024 and also in view of the circular dated

10.03.2017 issued by the State Government of Chhattisgarh and grant all consequential benefits viz. arrears of pay with interest and fixation of present salary.

10.5 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem and proper in the present circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is entitled for Kramonnati Vetanman in terms of decision in case of ***Sona Sahu Vs. State of Chhattisgarh***, in ***W.A. No. 261 of 2023***, decided on 28.02.2024.
3. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for petitioner and would submit that case of the petitioner is different than that of case of ***Sona Sahu (Supra)***. Petitioner was earlier employee of Panchayat Department and thereafter, he was absorbed in Education Department pursuant to policy decision taken by State Government on 30.06.2018. Petitioner was not appointed as regular Assistant Teachers by School Education Department but was appointed by Janpad Panchayat. Coordinate Bench of this Court has considered the issue with regard to grant of Kramonnati Vetanman to such employee in **WPS No.11009 of 2025** and other batch of writ petitions and has dismissed those writ petitions.
4. Petitioner upon asking do not dispute the submission of learned State counsel with respect to his initial appointment under Panchayat Department by Janpad Panchayat as also decision in **WP(S) No.11009 of 2025**.
5. The Coordinate Bench of this Court while considering the batch of writ petitions lead case bearing **WPS No. 11009 of 2025** with regard to

grant of Kramonnati to petitioners therein, has dismissed the writ petitions and observed thus :-

“35. Considering the facts of the case that the petitioners till absorption in pursuance of the policy dated 30.06.2018 are not teachers of the School Education Department, they were shikshakarmis though their designation has been changed as Assistant Teacher (panchayat), Teacher (panchayat) and Lecturer (panchayat) respectively and they are governed by the separate rules framed under the Panchayat Raj Adhiniyam, 1993, therefore, the petitioners are not fulfilling the criteria as laid down in the circular dated 10.03.2017, the bunch of the writ petitions are liable to be dismissed, accordingly, they are dismissed.”

6. Since the facts of the case of petitioner as is identical to the facts of the case in **WP(S) No.11009 of 2025** is not disputed, this petition is also dismissed in terms of order passed in **WPS No.11009 of 2025** and other connected case decided on 24.11.2025.

SHUBHAM DEY	Digitally signed by SHUBHAM DEY
----------------	--

Dey

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge