



2026:CGHC:8975



2026:CGHC:8975

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MAC No. 1844 of 2018

1 - Shanti Rathia W/o Late Jhanak Ram Rathia, Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Shinghijhap, Thana Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh.

2 - Minor Gayatri D/o Late Jhanak Ram Rathia, Aged About 2 Years R/o Village Shinghijhap, Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh.

--- **Appellants**

Versus

1 - Rameshwar Sahu S/o Sonu Sahu, By Occupation Driver, R/o Ward No. 29, Kelo Vihar Colony, Tahsil And District Raigarh.

2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Raigarh.

3 - District Forest Officer, Dharamjaigarh, Forest Division Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh.

4 - Forest Parichhetradhikari, Chhal, District Raigarh.

5 - Smt. Pramila, D/o Late Jhanak Ram Rathia, Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Nondarha, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba.

--- **Respondents**

MAC No. 1890 of 2018

Smt. Pramila D/o Late Jhanak Ram Rathia Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Nondarha, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba

--- **Appellant**

Versus

1 - Rameshwar Sahu S/o Sonu Sahu By Occupation Driver, R/o Ward No. 29, Kelo Vihar Colony, Tahsil And District Raigarh.



2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Raigarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

3 - District Forest Officer Dharamjaigarh, Forest Division Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

4 - Forest Circle Officer Chhal, District Raigarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

5 - Shanti Rathia W/o Late Jhanak Ram Rathia, Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Shingijhap, Thana Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

6 - (Minor) Gayatri D/o Late Jhanak Ram Rathia, Aged About 2 Years R/o Village Shingijhap, Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh. (Claimant No. 1 And 2), District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Appellants	:	None
For Respondents	:	None

Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge

Order on Board

19.02.2026

1 Today, when the matters were taken up for hearing and the cases were called out, neither the learned counsel for the appellants nor the learned counsel for the respondents appeared before this Court. Even on the third call of the matters, none appeared to press these appeals. It thus appears that the parties are not interested in prosecuting the present appeals.

2 In view of the continued non-appearance of the parties and absence of any representation, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss these appeals for want of prosecution.



- 3** Accordingly, the present appeals stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Yogesh