

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 7705 of 2018****SAVITRI PANDEY *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH****WPS/7698/2018,WPS/8094/2018****Order Sheet**

06/05/2026	<p>Shri Ashwani Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioners.</p> <p>Shri Anil Pandey, learned. Govt Advocate for the State in WPS No. 7705/2018.</p> <p>Shri Keshav Gupta, learned. Govt Advocate for the State in WPS No. 7698/2018.</p> <p>Shri Topilal Bareth, learned Panel Lawyer for</p>

the State in WPS No. 8094/2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in WPS No. 7698/2018, reply on behalf of respondent No. 5 Mata Rukmani Seva Sansthan Samiti has already been filed, however, in WPS No. 7705/2018 and WPS No. 8094/2018, no reply has been filed by the said respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that on the previous date of hearing, learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 5 in WPS No. 7698/2018 orally stated that he has not been instructed to file replies on behalf of Mata Rukmani Seva Sansthan Samiti in WPS No. 7705/2018 and WPS No. 8094/2018.

Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No. 5 in WPS No.7705/2018 and respondent No.6 in WPS No.8094/2018, by ordinary as well as registered

mode on payment of process fee within a period of ten days.

List these writ petitions after Summer Vacation, 2026.

Sd/-

(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
Judge