



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPC No.371 of 2022

Vidya Shankar Shukla **Versus** State of Chhattisgarh

05/09/2024	Mr.Keshav Dewangan, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Keshav Prasad Gupta, Govt. Advocate for State. Mr. Sanjay Patel, Advocate for respondent No.4. Dr. Shiv Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate for respondent No.8. Mr. Amishaan Hussain, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate for respondents No.15 to 31. Heard on IA No.1 of 2022, application for bringing legal representatives of respondent No.33. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that inadvertently due to typographical error provision of Civil Procedure Code is mentioned as “Order 22 Rule 3” instead of “Order 22 Rule 4”, therefore, this application be

Digitally
signed by
NISHA
DUBEY
Date:
2024.09.09
10:40:35
+0530

treated as application filed for substitution of legal representativess of respondent No.33 only.

In view of the submission made by counsel for petitioner, IA No.1 of 2022 is treated as an application for substitution of the legal representatives of respondent No.33.

At this stage, learned counsel for petitioner submits that during pendency of this application as per his information, proposed legal representative respondent No.33(a) also died and as respondent No.33(a) will be representated by respondent No.33(b) who is son of respondent No.33(a), he wants to delete the name of proposed respondent No.33(a), for which seperate application is filed.

In view of the submission made by counsel for petitioner, let notice be issued to respondent No.33(b) on payment of process fee, as per rules. Notice be made returnable within three weeks.

Heard on IA No.3 of 2024, application for deleting the name of petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner No.2 is son of petitioner No.1, therefore, he

	may be permitted to delete the name of petitioner No.1. In view of the submission made by counsel for petitioner, IA No. 3 of 2024 is allowed. Learned counsel for petitioner is directed to make necessary correction in the cause title of the petition within a period of one week. Also heard on IA No.5 of 2024, application for amendment. Submission made by counsel for petitioner and contents of the application is not opposed by counsel for respondent. On due consideration of submission of counsel for petitioner and contents of the application, IA No.5 of 2024 is allowed. Let necessary amendments, as proposed in IA No.5/2024, be carried out in writ petition within a period of one week. List this case in week commencing 7.10.2024. Sd/- (Parth Prateem Sahu) Judge
--	--