



2026:CGHC:39536-DB



CGHC010345452026



2026:CGHC:39536-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 2364 of 2026

- Saurabh Sahu S/o Shri Daya Sahu, aged about 25 years, R/o Village Lundra, Police Station- Lundra, District Surguja (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station – Lundra, District – Surguja (C.G.).

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr. Pawan Shrivastava, Advocate.
For Respondent/State : Ms. Anusha Nayak, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri Krushna Ram Mohapatra, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal, Judge

Order On Board

(09.09.2026)

1. Heard Mr. Pawan Shrivastava, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Anusha Nayak, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the Respondent/State.
2. The Petitioner in this CRMP prays for the following reliefs:-



“1. It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that, the entire records pertaining to the case of the petitioner i.e. Criminal Case No.6832/2026 arising out from Crime No.96/2026 registered with by Police Station - Lundra, District - Surguja, C.G. may kindly be called from the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambikapur, District Surguja(C.G.)

2. It is therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be kind enough to quash the impugned Prosecution of Criminal Case No.6832/2026 (in respect of applicant), registered against Charge Sheet No.105/2026 dated 25.06.2026 arising out from Crime No.96/2026 dated 30.04.2026 registered with by Police Station - Lundra, District - Surguja (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Section-34(2) of C.G. Excise Act against the applicant.

3. Any other relief/reliefs which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case with cost of the petition may also be pleased be granted to the applicant. ”.

4. During the course of hearing, Mr. Pawan Shrivastava, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays for withdrawal of this CRMP to avail appropriate remedy before the Trial Court.

5. Ms. Anusha Nayak, learned Dy. Govt. Advocate for Respondent/State, does not have any objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner.

6. In view of the above, the CRMP is disposed of with an observation that the petitioner, if so advised, may work out his remedy before the learned Trial Court, in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

Sd/-

(Krushna Ram Mohapatra)
Chief Justice