



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 6063 of 2024

- Manharan Lal Sahu S/o Dhani Ram Sahu, Aged About 56 Years Working On The Post Of Daily Wages Employ (Security Labor) Posted At Harethi Nursery, Forest Range-Sakti, District- Sakti (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Department Of Forest, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Conservator Of Forest, Aranya Bhawan, Medical College Road, Raipur, P.S. And District- Raipur (C.G.)
3. Conservator Of Forest, Bilaspur Circle, Office At Conservator Of Forest, Nehru Chowk, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division-Champa, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
5. The Sub Divisional Officer (Forest) Sub Division-Sakti, District- Sakti (C.G.)
6. Forest Range Officer- Forest Range- Sakti, District- Sakti (C.G.)

... Respondents

Order on Board

27/09/2024	Mr. A.N. Pandey, Advocate with Mr. H.S. Patel, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Aman Tamboli, Panel Lawyer for the State.

	Heard. Mr. Tamboli, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents and prays for three weeks' time to file reply. Also heard on I.A. No. 01/2024, application for grant of interim relief. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is engaged as daily wages employee with respondents and posted at Haredi, Forest Range, Sakti. After issuance of circular by the State Government for regularization of the daily wages employees working prior to year 1997, name of petitioner along with three others was also considered and it was forwarded to the competent authority for regularization of service. He contended that the said proceedings was under the signature of Divisional Forest Officer. Many other employees have been regularized in the service. The claim of petitioner for regularization is still pending consideration. However, during pendency of the claim of petitioner for regularization, respondents issued a letter Annexure P-1 dated 28.08.2024 mentioning that the petitioner will be discontinued from service after the month of September 2024. He contended that petitioner is aged about 56 years, he is having a family behind him to maintain to which he is maintaining with small remuneration which he received since 1995 working with
--	--

respondents as daily wage, if interim relief is not granted to petitioner, he will suffer adversely.

Learned State counsel submits that he will file reply to the application for interim relief also.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by counsel for the parties, in particular the fact that the petitioner is continuously working as daily wage employee since the year 1995 and as of now he has completed more than 29 years, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that petitioner shall not be disengaged from his employment till the next date of hearing.

List this case in week commencing from 25.11.2024.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE