



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPPIL No. 88 of 2023

IN THE MATTER OF SUO MOTO PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION,
REGARDING NOISE POLLUTION BASED ON NEWS ITEM

versus

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

Order Sheet

17/11/2025	<p>This is an office reference.</p> <p>Heard Shri Prafull N. Bharat, learned Advocate General with Shri S.S. Baghel, learned Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent, and Ms. Surya Kawalkar Dangi and Shri Apoorva Tripathi, learned counsels for the Intervener.</p> <p>In compliance of Court's order dated 16.10.2025, the Additional Chief Secretary (Home</p>

department. I called a meeting of different stakeholder departments regarding noise control and laser lights on 07.11.2025 in Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralay, Nawa Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

7. That, in the meeting I asked for details of actions taken under the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985 and the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules 2000. In response, the Secretary, Housing and Environment Department, stated that actions have been taken under the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam 1985, however, information about activities under the Noise Rules is not available. I directed to obtain information from district level and submit information on action taken under the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000; within three days. A copy of the cases registered under the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985 in the

various districts of the State of Chhattisgarh for the year 2022, 2023, 2024 and 2025 in a chart form is being annexed herewith as Annexure D/1.

8. That in the meeting held on 07.11.2025 the Secretary, Housing and Environment Department, also stated that the State Govt. has constituted a committee to consider relevant amendments to the provisions of the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985, and that all points are being considered. The committee has requested for time to make it's final recommendations. Secretary, Housing and Environment Department also informed that special monitoring is being done by complying the proceedings under the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985.

9. That, process of making stringent provisions in the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985 is under active consideration.

10. That in the above meeting I also ask

that if there are provisions regarding noise control and laser lights under the provisions of the Municipal Corporation Act, then information regarding the action taken in this regard should also be presented.

11. That during the discussion regarding laser lights, it became clear that during hearing in the High Court of Bombay and the NGT, no provisions regarding laser light are contained in the current Rules/Acts or any other Regulations. Therefore, action is not possible in this regard. Furthermore, there are no clear studies or scientific data regarding the adverse effects of laser lights on the human body. Copy of the details of proceeding of meeting dated 07.11.2025 is attached as Annexure- D/2.

12. That in Akhil Bhartiya Grahak Panchayat Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others PIL No. 191/2023, order due 20.08.2024 Hon'ble Bombay High Court

did not pass any specific order for framing of law regarding laser lights. A copy of the order is attached as Annexure D/3.

13. That, in Akhil Bhartiya Grahak Panchayat Vs The State of Maharashtra and ORS, SLP No. 20675/2024, arising out of the above order dated 20.08.2024, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has refused to entertain petitions seeking directions to frame a specific law for regulating the use of laser lights in public places and events. A copy of the order sheet is attached as Annexure- D/4.

xxx xxx xxx''

It is stated that, so far as the amendment of the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985 in consonance with the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000 is concerned, suggestions have been invited, a committee has

been constituted, and the relevant amendments to the Adhiniyam are under consideration. It is further submitted that the process is at its final stage and a decision will be taken shortly.

With respect to laser lights, it is submitted that there are presently no provisions regarding the regulation of laser lights in the existing Rules/Acts or in any other applicable regulations.

To which learned counsel for the intervener, Ms. Dangi, states that a clear study and scientific data regarding the adverse effects of laser lights on the human body are required, and seeks some time to place such material on record, so that this Court may, after consideration thereof, issue appropriate directions to the authorities for framing relevant rules, acts, or other regulatory provisions on the issue.

Let a fresh affidavit be filed by the Additional Chief Secretary regarding the amendment of the C.G. Kolahal Niyantaran Adhiniyam, 1985 in consonance with the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000.

List this case on 12.12.2025.

Sd/-

(Bibhu Datta Guru)
Judge

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice