



**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPPIL No. 88 of 2023**

In The Matter Of Suo Moto Public Interest Litigation, Regarding  
Noise Pollution Based On News Item

**Versus**

The Chief Secretary & Others

**Order-Sheet**

<p><b>29/01/2025</b></p>	<p>This is an office reference.</p> <p>Heard Mr. Shashank Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents No. 1, 4, 5 and 6, Mr. Trivikram Nayak, learned counsel for the respondent No.2. Also heard Ms. Surya Kawalkar Dangi, Mr. Harshmander Rastogi, learned counsel for the respective intervenors as well as Mr. B.K.Manish, Intervenor, appearing in person.</p> <p>Mr. Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General submits that the State/respondents No. 1, 4, 5 and 6, has filed its submission yesterday i.e. on 28.01.2025 in which it has been stated that the General Administration Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, has formed a Committee by its order dated 27.01.2025 which will re-visit the</p>

Chhattisgarh Kolahal Adhiniyam, 1985 and the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000. The Committee will also take into account the action taken against the noise pollution in this regard and will submit a report to the State Government within 2 months alongwith necessary suggestions for amendment in the Adhiniyam, 1985. It has been further submitted that the State authorities are taking appropriate measures and action against the DJs and Sound Systems and is maintaining a strict vigilance upon the same.

Mr. B.K.Manish, Intervenor, appearing in person has also filed an application for intervention being IA No. 8/2024 suggesting some measures to curb the noise pollution. Ms. Dangi, learned counsel appearing for the Intervenor-Dr. Rakesh Gupta, has filed an application being IA No. 9/2025 seeking issuance of suitable directions to the State authorities to take action against the DJ owners who have violated the noise rules during the Ganesh Puja regarding which she has also annexed some photographs.

Mr. Harshmander Rastogi, learned counsel appearing for the Intervenor-Rakesh Choubey submits that he has also filed an intervention application being IA No 6/2024 and submits that there is no mechanism for redressal of the complaint with respect to the noise pollution. At present, there is no proper procedure to lay down the grievance mechanism and regarding lodging of the complaints in the

State of Chhattisgarh, whereas, the same has been implemented in the State of New Delhi and the National Capital Regions where a dedicated website is also functional.

In view of the above, at this stage, it would be appropriate to direct the learned State counsel to forward a copy of the aforesaid IAs filed by Mr. B.K.Manish, Mr. Rastogi and Ms. Dangi to the Committee constituted by the State, as aforesaid, and the Committee shall also look into the suggestions and the grievances raised in the respective applications, which may be of helpful in curbing the menace of noise pollution and effective implementation of the laws relating to noise pollution.

Let this matter be listed again for further monitoring on **15<sup>th</sup> April, 2025.**

Sd/-  
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)  
**JUDGE**

Sd/-  
(Ramesh Sinha)  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**