



2026:CGHC:479

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

ACQA No. 1039 of 2024

Rajkumar S/o. Tolaram Sawlani Aged About 67 Years Occupation - Business, R/o. Plot No. 345, Sai Chanduram Darbar Marg, Jaripatka, Nagpur (Maharashtra) (Complainant) **... Appellant**

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh through - Station House Officer, Police Station Bhansi, Dist. Dantewada (C.G.) (Accused).

2 - Satish Premchandani Aged About 44 Years Occupation - Business, R/o. Bharti Beauty House, Shopping Complex, Shop No. 6, Post Office and Tahsil Bachel, Dist. Dantewada (C.G.) (Correct Address Mentioned) **... Respondents**

For the Petitioner	: None
For Respondent/State	: Mr. Vivek Mishra, Panel Lawyer
For respondent no.2	: Mr. Sameer P. Sonwane, Advocate

(Hon’ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)

Order on Board

05.01.2026

This is an appeal filed under Section 413 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 against the judgment dated 05.08.2024 passed in S.T.No.195/2018 whereby the learned Additional Sessions Judge (FTC),

South Bastar, Dantewada has acquitted the accused/respondent of the charges 306 & 498-A of IPC.

No one appears on behalf of the appellant.

On earlier occasion, adjournment was sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that an outstation lawyer will appear and argue the matter.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 prays for not granting any further adjournment as it would be difficult for him to come from Nagpur again and again.

Since no representation is made to argue the case on behalf of the appellant, this Court is left with no other way except to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

Rao