



2026:CGHC:32238

WPS No. 8556 of 2019



CGHC010327282019



2026:CGHC:32238

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 8556 of 2019

- Hemant Kumar Sahkar (Sahis) S/o Late Shri Shiv Shankar Sahis, Aged About 29 Years, R/o H.No. 275 Ram Mandir Gali Shastri Bhwan Sitamadhi P/s Kotwali District Korba Civil And Revenue District Korba, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Education Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector, Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
3. District Education Officer District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioner :- Mr. Ajay Mishra, Advocate.

For State :- Mr. Sharad Mishra, Panel Lawyer.

SB- Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

28.07.2026

1. The petitioner's father died on 12.12.2000 against which he made an application for grant of compassionate appointment on 13.07.2010, which could not be decided and leading to



filing of WPS No.6613 of 2018, in which, this Court had directed respondent No.2 & 3 therein on 29.11.2018 to decide petitioner's application within a period of 90, thereafter, petitioner's application for compassionate appointment was rejected (Annexure P/1) against which the present writ petition has been filed.

2. Mr. Neeraj Choubey, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that impugned order (Annexure P/1) is unsustainable and bad in law and, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside. In support of his submission, he would rely upon the decision of the Division Bench of this Court passed in the matter of **Pushpendra Nath Sonesare v. State of Chhattisgarh and another**¹.
3. Mr. Sharad Mishra, learned State counsel, would oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and support the impugned order.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, considered their rival submissions made herein above and gone through the records precisely.
5. Admittedly, petitioner's father died on 12.12.2000 and now, more than 25 years have been passed from the date of death of the petitioner's father, therefore, at this stage, it would be completely against the object of compassionate appointment

¹ Writ Appeal No. 537 of 2015; decided on 10.09.2018



2026:CGHC:32238

WPS No. 8556 of 2019

and to direct the respondents to grant the compassionate appointment to the petitioner. As such, I do not find any merit in the instant writ petition, accordingly, the same is **dismissed**. No cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Ankit