



2026:CGHC:10077

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CONT No.1036 of 2022

- 1** - Doman Lal Chandrakar R/o Shri Banshilal Chandrakar Aged About 56 Years R/o Ward No.12, Ranchirai, Balod, District : Balod, Chhattisgarh
- 2** - Surendra Kumar Deshmukh S/o Shri Bhishamlal Deshmukh Aged About 41 Years R/o Ward No.08, Bramhan Para, Kharra, Balod, District : Balod, Chhattisgarh

Petitioner (s)

versus

- 1** - Manoj Kumar Pingua Principal Secretary, Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 2** - Arun Dev Goutam Secretary, Department Of Home -Cum- Director General Home Guard Civil Defence, Chhattisgarh Home Guards, Fire And Emergency Services And State Disaster Response Force Sector-19, Nawa Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 3** - Amitabh Jain Chief Secretary, Government Of Chhattisgarh Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jitendra Pali, Advocate
 For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rakesh Jha, Advocate

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****26/02/2026**

1. The present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioners for non compliance of the order dated 25.04.2022 by the respondents passed by this Court in WPS No.2824/2022, by which the issue of enhancement of duty allowance payable to the personnel in the Home Guard including the petitioners was directed to be decided by this Court in light of the guidelines of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this regard.
2. Against the order dated 25.04.2022, a writ appeal bearing WA No.320/2025 was preferred by the respondents before the Division Bench of this Court but the same was dismissed vide judgment dated 10.06.2025, against which an SLP was preferred by the respondents before the Hon'ble Supreme Court bearing SLP (Civil) Diary No.51093/2025, but the same has also been rejected vide order dated 13.02.2026.
3. Thus, in light of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the present contempt petition stands disposed of. However, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to file a fresh contempt petition, if the cause of action still subsists.

Sd/-

Rajani Dubey
Judge