



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 1532 of 2025

CHOTU @ MD. USAID **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

03/02/2026	Mr. Bhupendra Singh, counsel for the appellant. Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, G.A. for the State. Heard. Admit. Record of the trial Court is received. Heard on I.A. No. 01/2025, application under Section 430 of B.N.S.S., 2023 for suspension of sentence and grant of bail. By the impugned judgment dated 18.07.2025 passed by learned Special Judge (N.D.P.S. Act), Surguja, District Ambikapur (C.G.) in Special Criminal (N.D.P.S.) Case No. 16/2021, the appellant has been convicted and sentenced as mentioned below : <table border="1" data-bbox="533 1581 1394 1895"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 1581 831 1648">Conviction</th><th data-bbox="831 1581 1394 1648">Sentence</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 1648 831 1895">Under Section 21(B) of N.D.P.S. Act</td><td data-bbox="831 1648 1394 1895">R.I. for 6 years and fine of Rs.25,000/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 6 months.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Conviction	Sentence	Under Section 21(B) of N.D.P.S. Act	R.I. for 6 years and fine of Rs.25,000/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 6 months.
Conviction	Sentence				
Under Section 21(B) of N.D.P.S. Act	R.I. for 6 years and fine of Rs.25,000/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 6 months.				

Ravi Mandavi	Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He further submits that there is no material on record on the basis of which appellant's conviction can be sustained. He further submits that the prosecution has failed to prove the entire prosecution case beyond reasonable doubt. He next submits that the appellant is in Jail from the date of judgment and disposal of this appeal is likely to take considerable time, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail and supported the impugned judgment. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature and gravity of offence, the evidence available on record against the applicant, I do not find it appropriate to release the appellant on bail. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01/2025 is rejected. List this case for final hearing in the month of March, 2026. Sd/- (Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal) Judge
--------------	--