



2026:CGHC:1779



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5805 of 2023

1 - Okesh Yadav S/o Purushottam Prasad Yadav, Aged About 32 Years R/o Dau Shera Ward No. 17, Khairagarh, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh. Official Address- Assistant Sub Inspector, C/o Sp Office, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgoan Chhattisgarh.

--- Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavwan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Superintendent Of Police, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondent(s)

WPS No. 8054 of 2023

1 - Manik Lal Sinha S/o Ramdayal Sinha Aged About 48 Years R/o Ward No. 4, Police Station Danteshwari Colony, Ambagarh Chowki (N.P.), Ambagarh Chowki, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh



2026:CGHC:1779

2

2 - Superintendent Of Police Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

--- Respondent(s)

WPS No. 5856 of 2023

1 - Awadh Kishor Sahu S/o. Sahdev Ram Sahu, Aged About 43 Years
R/o. House No. 286, Ward No. 28, Near Shankar Mandir, Kanharpuri,
Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Tikesh Kumar Sahu, S/o. Sahdev Ram Sahu, Aged About 41 Years
R/o. House No. 286, Ward No. 28, Near Shankar Mandir, Kanharpuri,
Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh. Official Address -
Constable No. 362, Dcrb Branch, Police Office, Rajnandgaon, District -
Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Home,
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

2 - Superintendent Of Police, Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon,
Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from the Case Information System)

For Petitioner(s) :- None

For State :- Mr. Anand Dadariya, Dy. A.G.

SB- Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order On Board

12.01.2026

1. Heard.

2. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner even



2026:CGHC:1779

when the matters are called out in second round at about 4:21 pm., this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss these petitions for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, these petitions are **dismissed** for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Vishakha