



2026:CGHC:13046

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 6513 of 2022**

**1** - Deepak Kumar Yadav S/o Late Santosh Kumar Yadav Aged About 47 Years  
Occupation Service Presently Posted As Supervisor Chhattisgarh State Cooperative  
Bank Maryadit, Raipur Branch Office Raigarh (C.G.), R/o Kotra Road, Rajeev  
Nagar, Raigarh District Raigarh (C.G.)

**2** - Hemant Kumar Chouhan S/o Late Tulsi Das Chouhan Aged About 36 Years  
Occupation Service, Presently Posted As Assistant Accountant, Chhattisgarh State  
Cooperative Bank Maryadit, Raipur Branch Office Baramketa, District Raigarh  
(C.G.), R/o Village And Tahsil Pussore, District Raigarh (C.G.)

**3** - Ashish Kumar Patel S/o Late Shri Arvind Kumar Patel Aged About 36 Years  
Occupation Service, Presently Posted As Clerk, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative  
Bank Maryadit, Raipur Branch Office Baramketa, District Raigarh (C.G.), R/o Kelo  
Vihar Colony, District Raigarh (C.G.)

**... Petitioner(s)****versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Co-Operative  
Societies, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District Raipur  
(C.G.)

**2** - Registrar Co-Operative Societies, Block B, 2nd And 3rd Floor, Head Of The  
Department Office Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

**3** - Chhattisgarh Rajya Sahkari Bank Maryadit Through Its Managing Director,  
Chhattisgarh Rajya Sahkari Bank Maryadit, Sahkar Bhawan, Plot No. 74, Sector 24,  
Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

4 - Managing Director Chhattisgarh Rajya Sahkari Bank Maryadit, Sahkar Bhawan,  
Plot No. 74, Sector 24, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

(Cause Title is taken from Case Information System)

---

For Petitioners : Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate.

For Respondents No.1 & 2/State : Ms. Soumya Sharma, P.L.

For Respondents No.3 & 4 : Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, Advocate.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey**

**Order on Board**

**18.03.2026**

1. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking the following reliefs:

“10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent No.1 and 2 to merge the service of the petitioners in the respondent No.3 Bank within a stipulated time frame.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent No.1 and 2 to immediately release all the increments and other allowance which has not been paid to the petitioners after 07/10/2014 along with the interest, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit from the 07/10/2014.

10.3 Any other relief(s)/ order(s)/ direction(s) in favour petitioner, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.

10.4 Cost of the petition.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the issue regarding the merger of the services of the petitioners is pending consideration before the respondent authorities since 2014. He would invite attention of this Court to paragraph 7 of the reply filed by the State, wherein it is categorically stated that the process of merger is

under consideration. He would submit that a direction may be issued to the respondent authorities to conclude the proceedings of merger expeditiously.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondents would oppose. Ms. Soumya Sharma, learned State counsel would submit that the procedure for merger is under process.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents present on record.
5. Taking into consideration the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and the statements made in paragraph 7 of the return filed by respondents No.1 & 2, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to conclude the merger proceedings expeditiously, preferably, within a period of 180 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
6. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-**  
**(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)**  
Judge