



CGHC010314202026



2026:CGHC:39412

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 816 of 2026

Bhujrang Say Paikra S/o Late Shri Bidhhnath Say Paikra Aged About 50 Years Sub-Engineer, National Highway Division, P W D, Bilaspur, R/o House No. 66, Ward No. 10, Near Jaystambh Chowk, Saraypali, Distt. Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

- 1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh
- 2** - Engineer-In-Chief Public Works Department, North Block Sector-19, Nirman Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh
- 3** - Chief Engineer Public Works Department National Highway Zone, Raipur, Distt.- Raipur Chhattisgarh
- 4** - Executive Engineer Public Works Department National Highway Division, Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh
- 5** - Sub-Divisional Officer National Highway Sub-Division No. 1, Public Works Department, Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh
- 6** - Tahsildar Saraypali Distt. Mahasamund Chhattisgarh
- 7** - Sourabh Kumar Goyal S/o Late Shri Rajkumar Goyal Aged About 37 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Jain Colony, Saraypali Thana And Tahsil Saraypali, Distt- Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Applicant	:	Mr. Surfaraj Khan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Dy. G.A.
For NHAI	:	Mr. Dheeraj Kumar Wankhede, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr. Sunil Sahu, Advocate

No. 7

Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order On Board

09.09.2026

1. This MCC has been filed by the applicant seeking modification/recall of the order dated 23.07.2026 passed in WPC No.3818 of 2026.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that W.P.(C) No. 3818/2026 was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 23.07.2026. He submits that, upon perusal of the said order, it has been noticed that paragraph 08 thereof requires certain modification/correction. It is, therefore, prayed that the necessary modification in paragraph 08 of the order dated 23.07.2026 may kindly be made in the interest of justice.
3. No objection has been raised from other side to the modification sought for.
4. I have gone through the order including the record and the mistake appears to be *bona fide* on the face of record, which needs to be rectified. Therefore, para 08 of the order dated 23.07.2026 be read as under:-

“08. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the controversy and the competent authority shall examine the matter and if necessary, shall send the matter to the concerned officer, while granting due

opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and all the necessary parties.”

5. Copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the applicant.
6. Accordingly, the MCC stands allowed in the aforesaid terms.

SD/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

AMIT PATEL