



2026:CGHC:17206



2026:CGHC:17206

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 6288 of 2022

1 - Vaibhav Ranjan Yadav S/o Surendra Kumar Yadav Aged About 25 Years R/o H/ G C-19, Parijat Extension, Nehru Nagar, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Chhattisgarh Public Service Commission Through Its Secretary, North Block , Sector - 19, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipu, Chhattisgarh

3 - Rajnikant Sahu S/o Lekhram Sahu R/o Ward No. 2 Killapara, Dongargaon,, District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

4 - Anil Singh Thakur S/o Jeevan Singh Thakur R/o 882/2 Construction Colony, Railway Area Tarbahar, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

5 - Dushyant Kumar Bhoi S/o Puniram Bhoi R/o Jamgahan, Post Mukta Malkharoda,, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner/s	: Shri Vedant Shadangi, Advocate.
For Respondent/ State	: Ms. Saumya Sharma, PL
For Respondent No.2	: Shri Anand Mohan Tiwari, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

15.04.2026

1. Learned counsel for the parties submits that the Division Bench of this Court in WPC No.591 of 2012 and other connected matters on 19.09.2022 allowed the writ petition and passed the following orders:-



“85. In view of the above discussion, Act of 2011 and Section 3 of Act of 2012, and notification dated 29.11.2012 in rules of 1998 so far as it relates to reservation as indicated in Schedule II Model Roster for districts of Surguja, Surajpur, Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Jashpur, Korla and Surguja Division, are adjudged and declared unconstitutional.”

2. They further submits that order dated 19.09.2022 was subject to challenge before the Hon’ble Supreme Court in Special leave to Appeal (C) No (s). 18816-18817/2022 (Yogesh Kumar Thakur v. Gurughashdas Sahtiya Avam Sanskriti Academy and others). The Hon’ble Supreme Court on 01.05.2023 passed the following order:-

“4. We, therefore, permit the State to go ahead with the selection process and make appointments and promotions.

5. However, it is made clear that the same shall be subject to the result of the petition.

6. All appointments and promotion orders shall specifically mention that such appointments and promotions are to the final outcome of the present proceedings.”

3. By virtue of the order of Hon’ble Supreme Court as referred earlier the learned State counsel submits that now the State has taken a decision to proceed with the appointment process.
4. In view of the above, this petition is as of now disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again if any cause of action survives.
5. Interim order granted earlier is hereby vacated.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge