

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WP227 No. 733 of 2025****SMT. PUNITA PATHAK *versus* DR. GOKUL PRASAD BANJARA****Order Sheet**

24/02/2026	Mr. Santosh Bharat, Counsel for the Petitioner. Respondent is reported to be unserved. Heard on I.A. No.02/2025, an application filed under Order 5 Rule 20 of C.P.C., 1908, praying for service of summon upon the Respondent by way of daily newspaper “Navabharat” in Raipur, as well as, Bilaspur edition. It is contended by Counsel for the Petitioner that, as per the direction issued by this Court vide order dated 01/08/2025, the notice was paid for effecting the service upon the Respondent, but it could not be served as he is not residing at the given address and, contended further that despite of his diligent local enquiries and efforts to

ascertain the address of him, but was unable to locate the address of him and, therefore, he may be permitted to serve the notice of this petition upon him through daily newspaper "Navabharat" of Raipur, as well as, Bilaspur edition.

On due consideration, the application is allowed and disposed of.

Let the aforesaid unserved Respondent be served by way of paper publication in the daily newspaper "Navabharat" having wide circulation over the concerned area, on payment of necessary process fee, as per rules.

Let the matter be listed after six weeks for further orders.

Sd/-
(Sanjay S. Agrawal)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
JUDGE