



2026:CGHC:3911

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CRR No. 1012 of 2023**

Manish Meghwani S/o Ashok Meghwani, Aged About 34 Years R/o House No. 3019, Dwarka Nagar, Lalmitti Jhanda Chowk, Ghamapur, Nagar Police Station Ghamapur Jabalpur, District Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh)

... Applicant**versus**

Ritu Meghwani W/o Manish Meghwani, Aged About 34 Years R/o A-16, Steel City Gayatri Nagar, Raipur, Tahsil Khanmhardih, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Applicant	:	Mr. C.R. Sahu, Advocate.
---------------	---	--------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****22.01.2026**

1. Heard Mr. C.R. Sahu, learned counsel for the applicant.
2. This Criminal Revision is being aggrieved of the order dated 17.08.2023 passed by the learned Family Court, District – Raipur (C.G.) in MCC No. 132/2023, whereby the learned Family Court, partly allowed the application for grant of interim maintenance filed by the respondent, and ordered the applicant/husband that he has to pay the allowance for interim maintenance at the rate of Rs. 10,000/- per month to the respondent.
3. The facts, in brief, is that the respondent has filed an application under Section 125 of CrPC seeking maintenance amount is that the

respondent has solemnized marriage with the applicant on 25.04.2018 and after some time of marriage, the applicant has harassed and mentally tortured the respondent and on 03.03.2021 the applicant has gone to Delhi, but he did not return in the house and applicant has shop of clothe store at Jabalpur (M.P.) from which he obtained Rs. 1,50,000/- as an income and also having lands / house and his total income of Rs. 2,50,000/- and respondent has no any source of income and the respondent has also filed application for seeking grant of interim maintenance amount of Rs. 50,000/-.

4. The applicant herein has filed the reply to the said application and has denied the averments raised by the respondent. The learned Family Court after perusal of the documents passed the impugned order, and has allowed the application filed by the respondent for grant of interim maintenance and directed the applicant to pay the maintenance to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- per month to the respondent. Hence, this revision.
5. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that impugned order passed by the learned Family Court, is contrary to the facts and evidence available on record. It is further submitted that the respondent happens to be a well educated lady and she has done professional makeup course, and hence, she does not like to live with the applicant for marital life and she is living separately from the applicant with her own will. Therefore, the impugned order is bad in law, perverse and erroneous, and the same it is liable to be set-aside/quashed.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant, perused the pleadings and documents appended thereto.

7. Considering the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant, and from the perusal of the impugned order passed by the learned Family Court, it transpires that after hearing all the statements of the witnesses and perusing the evidence available on record, the learned Family Court has passed the impugned order, and there is no any illegality and infirmity while passing the same which requires interference by this Court.
8. Accordingly, the criminal revision being devoid of merit is liable to be and is hereby **dismissed**.
9. Let a certified copy of this order be transmitted to the concerned trial Court for necessary compliance and follow up action, if any.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Rajshekhar