

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPL No. 109 of 2022

Rastriya Jute Mazdoor Sangh **Versus** State Of Chhattisgarh and others

05/07/2024	Mr. Abhishek Singh, Counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, Counsel for respondent No.3. Mr. Rajeev Bharat, Government Advocate for respondent No.4. At the outset, Mr. Paranjpe, learned counsel for respondent No.3, would submit that this petition has become infructuous. To demonstrate the same, he would draw attention of the Court towards the Chart-Annexure R/3-4, which has been filed along with the reply. He submits that various RRC issued against respondent No.3, mentioned in the said chart, were subject to challenge before this Court by respondent No.3 in WPL No.84/2012 and WPL No.231/2018 and since no proper opportunity of hearing was afforded to the employer, the subject order(s) passed by the competent authority was quashed and the said petitions were disposed of/allowed by the orders 18.2.2014 and 2.5.2023 respectively. The main relief prayed for in in this petition is to direct the respondent authorities to recover the RRC amount against respondent No.3. At this juncture, on a specific query being made to Mr. Abhishek Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether any RRC is still pending against respondent No.3, he failed to demonstrate the same. He would submit that he has filed an affidavit on 16.10.2023 in pursuance of the order dated 19.9.2023 passed by this Court, however, even in the affidavit, learned counsel

for the petitioner has failed to demonstrate that any RRC is still in force.

At this stage, Mr. Anup Majumdar, Advocate appears and submits that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner and he prays for time to argue the matter.

In view of the above, this Court only observes that the interim order granted on 15.9.2022 shall not be given effect to in respect of the subject RRC which has been cancelled. However, it is made clear that if any RRC is still in existence, the interim relief granted earlier shall continue to remain in force with regard to the same, till the next date of hearing.

The interim order dated 15.9.2022 is modified to the extent indicated above.

List this matter in the week after next.

Sd/-

(Deepak Kumar Tiwari)

Judge

Shyna