



2026:CGHC:4015

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CONT No. 1051 of 2025

1. Pradeep Kumar Pandey S/o Late Ramadhar Pandey Aged About 60 Years R/o Nilu Niwas College Road, P.O. / Tahsil Hardi Bazar District Korba Chhattisgarh.
2. Dil Raj Singh Tanwar S/o Late Naipal Singh Aged About 60 Years R/o Govt. G.B. College Hardi Bazar, P.O. / Tahsil Hardi Bazar, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
3. Baldev Prasad Aheer S/o Late Bhagat Ram Aged About 60 Years R/o Govt. G.B. College Hardi Bazar, P.O. / Tahsil Hardi Bazar, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
4. Uttan Das Mahant S/o Late Hiranman Das Mahnat Aged About 60 Years R/o Hardi Bazar P.O. / Tahsil Hardi Bazar, District Korba Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioners

versus

1. S. Bhartidasan Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Dr. Santosh Kumar Dewangan, Commissioner, Directorate Of Higher Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioner	: Dr. Jitendra Kishore Mehta, Advocate
For Respondents	: Mr. Yashkarn Singh, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Amrito Das, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

22/01/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this contempt petition alleging willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in WPS No. 2620/2025 dated 21.04.2025 between *Pradeep Kumar Pandey and others vs. State of Chhattisgarh and*



others, wherein writ court has disposed of the writ petition with a direction to petitioners to submit representation before Respondents No. 1 & 2 within specified time and further direction to the said authorities to take decision on the said representation within further specified time considering Promotion Rules of 1977 and to pass reasoned and speaking orders.

2. Learned counsel for respondents submits that the order passed by this Court has been complied with as the decision has been taken by the respondent on the representation submitted by petitioner on 21.08.2025 discussed the claim of petitioner in detail and rejected the same.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the decision taken by the respondents authorities is erroneous and not in conformity with the Rules of 1977.
4. Considered the submission of learned counsel for the respective parties. In the contempt proceedings, correctness of the decision taken by the respondents on the claim of petitioner cannot be gone into, when the respondents in compliance of the order passed in writ petition has considered the representation of petitioner and taken decision.
5. For the foregoing discussion, I am of the opinion that respondents have complied with the direction issued by this Court. Accordingly, contempt proceeding stands dropped. Notice issued to respondents stands discharged.
6. If petitioners are aggrieved with the decision of the respondents dated 21.08.2025, they are at liberty to take recourse of law by way of filing appropriate proceeding challenging the said order.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE