



2026:CGHC:17395
NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 3026 of 2020

Raisen Pal S/o Tarendra Kumar Aged About 28 Years Resident of Village And Post Dhangaon Gosai, Police Station Jarhagaon, Tehsil And District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary , Department of Higher Education , Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur , District Raipur , Chhattisgarh.

2 - Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya (Igkvv) Raipur Chhattisgarh Through Registrar, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Sai Nagar Zora, Krishak Nagar Raipur , District Raipur , Chhattisgarh.

3 - Registrar Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Sai Nagar Zora, Krishak Nagar Raipur , District Raipur , Chhattisgarh.

4 - University Grant Commission Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110002.

... **Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Deepanjali Tiwari, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Ashutosh Shukla, P.L.
For Respondent No.4	:	Mr. Jitendra Nath Nande, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge
Order on Board

16.04.2026

- By filing the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for following relief(s):-

"10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to hold that the act of the respondent



is arbitrary and capricious and liable to be stuck down.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent to allow the petitioner to pursue Ph.D. in the applied discipline.

10.3 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue appropriate, writ, order or direction thereby to allow the petitioner to pursue Ph.D. Program.

10.4 That, any other relief/order which may deem fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case including award of the costs of the petition may be given.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, owing to efflux of time and subsequent developments, the reliefs as sought in the present petition no longer survive for consideration and the cause of action itself has ceased to exist. It is further submitted that the academic session, in relation to which the petitioner had sought permission to pursue the Ph.D. programme, has already lapsed and, therefore, no effective adjudication can now be made in the present proceedings.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concurs with the submission advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that, in view of the intervening circumstances and passage of time, nothing substantive survives for adjudication by this Court.



4. Considering the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and taking into account the fact that the reliefs claimed in the writ petition have been rendered incapable of being granted due to efflux of time, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge**

Yogesh