



CGHC010263022026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPCR No. 387 of 2026**

1 - Smt. Sippy Agrawal W/o Shri Sushil Kumar Agrawal, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Baradwar, Tahsil Baradwar, District Sakti, Chhattisgarh

2 - Smt. Rekha Agrawal W/o Shri Deepak Agrawal, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Baradwar, Tahsil Baradwar, District Sakti, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners**versus**

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Baradwar, District Sakti, Chhattisgarh

2 - Dhruv Kumar Agrawal S/o Shri Mahavir Prasad Agrawal, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 05, Naya Baradwar, Tahsil Baradwar, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh (Compliment)

... Respondents**Order Sheet**

15-07-2026	Mr. Akhand Pratap Pandey, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Shukla, Addl. Advocate General for the State/Respondent No.1.

The prayer No.2 made in the present petition by which the petitioner has sought for quashing and setting aside the impugned order dated 22.06.2026 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh for registration of the FIR stands dismissed as the FIR has already been registered against the petitioners and the said order has been executed, therefore, the prayer No.2 has become infructuous and as there is also prayer No.1 in the present petition for quashing of the impugned FIR.

Heard on I.A. No.01/2026, application for grant of interim relief.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the dispute between the petitioners and respondent No.2 arises out of a long-standing civil dispute relating to land. The petitioner No.1 had executed a registered sale deed dated 31.03.2015 in favour of petitioner No.2 after due compliance with all statutory requirements. The allegation of respondent No.2 is that a forged Nazari Map was used for the purpose of registration of the sale deed and that the petitioners evaded payment of stamp duty. It has been further submitted that the present complaint is a clear misuse of

the criminal process as it is based on the very same allegations which had already been investigated earlier. In the previous case, the police found no evidence of forgery and filed a closure report, which was accepted by this Court. Despite this, Respondent No. 2 has again raised the same allegations by filing another complaint based on the same Nazari Map and the same Patwari. Respondent No. 2 has no right or interest in the property and has repeatedly filed complaints before different authorities only to harass the Petitioners. The earlier enquiries by the police, revenue authorities and the District Registrar found no criminal wrongdoing by the Petitioners. The present proceedings are therefore malicious, repetitive and intended only to subject the Petitioners to repeated criminal prosecution.

Considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners, purely as an interim measure, it is ordered that the arrest of the petitioners- Smt. Sippy Agrawal and Smt. Rekha Agrawal, in pursuance of impugned F.I.R. No.238/2026 dated 29.06.2026 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of I.P.C. registered at Police Station Baradwar, District Sakti (C.G.), shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing, subject to the restraint that the petitioners shall fully cooperate with the investigation and shall appear as and when called upon to assist in the investigation.

Issue notice to respondent No.2 on payment of P.F. as per rules.

List this case after service of notice upon respondent No.2.

Sd/-

(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice