



CGHC010259892026

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CRA No. 1655 of 2026**

1. Manoj Kumar Banjare S/o Balaram Banjare Aged About 31 Years,
2. Bhanupratap Banjare S/o Balaram Banjare Aged About 35 Years,
3. Balaram Banjare S/o Rama Banjare Aged About 53 Years,
4. Kirti Bai W/o Balaram Banjare Aged About 49 Years

All are R/o Village Hariharpur, Police Station Nawagarh, District:
Bemetara, Chhattisgarh

... Appellants**versus**

- The State of Chhattisgarh Through - The Station House Officer,
Police Station Nawagarh, District : Bemetara, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent**Order Sheet**

09/07/2026	Mr. Amit Kumar Sahu, counsel for the appellants. Mr. Varunendra Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the State/Respondent. Heard on admission. Admit.
-------------------	---

Call for the record.

Also heard on I.A. No.01/2026, an application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail.

By the impugned judgment dated 29.06.2026 passed by the learned Second Additional Sessions Judge, Bemetara, District- Bemetara (C.G.) in Sessions Case No.47/2023, appellants have been convicted as under :

Conviction	Sentence
U/s 147 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'IPC')	RI for 3 months with fine of Rs.500/- each and in default of fine, additional RI for 1 month each.
U/s 148 of the IPC	RI for 1 year with fine of Rs.500/- each and in default of fine, RI for 1 month each.
U/s 308/149 of the IPC	RI for 1 year with fine of Rs.500/- each and in default of fine, RI for 1 month each.
All the sentences are directed to run concurrently and the sentences of fine shall remain separate, and each appellant shall pay the fine imposed for each offence.	

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the learned trial Court has not properly appreciated the evidence available on record while holding the appellants guilty. He submits that during the trial, the appellants were on

temporary bail and have not misused the liberty granted to them. He further submits that the final disposal of this appeal is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, it is prayed that the substantive jail sentence of the appellants be suspended till the final disposal of the appeal.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that during trial, the appellants were on temporary bail and did not misuse the liberty granted to them and that disposal of this appeal is likely to take some time, I am of the opinion that the present is a fit case to suspend the jail sentence imposed upon the appellants and to release them on bail.

Accordingly, the application (I.A. No. 01/2026) is allowed. It is directed that the substantive jail sentence imposed upon the appellants shall remain suspended till final disposal of this case on their executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- each with one surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court for their appearance before the Registry of this Court on 09.10.2026. They shall thereafter appear before the concerned trial Court

<i>Priyanka</i>	on a date to be given by the Registry of this Court and thereafter, continue to appear before the concerned trial Court on all such subsequent dates as are given to them by the said Court till disposal of this appeal. List this case for final hearing in due course. Sd/- (Radhakishan Agrawal) Judge
-----------------	---