



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPPIL No. 127 of 2021

IN RE. ROADS OF CHHATTISGARH **versus** STATE OF
CHHATTISGARH AND OTHERS

WPPIL/83/2023,WPPIL/26/2022

Order on Board

10/11/2025	This is an Office reference. Heard Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, Senior Advocate, Shri Prateek Sharma, Shri A.S. Kachhwaha, learned Amicus Curiae, Shri Yogeshwar Sharma. Mr. Anmol Verma, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Siddharth Dubey, Advocates for petitioner. Also heard Shri Y.S. Thakur Additional Advocate General, Shri Varun Sharma, Shri Aniruddh Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Dhiraj

	Kumar Wankhede for NHAI, Ms. Anmol Sharma, CGC, Shri Aditya K. Mishra, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Ishan Verma, Ms. Preeti Yadav, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate, Ms. Jyoti Chandravanshi, Advocate on behalf of Shri Sandey Dubey, Advocates for respective respondents. It is submitted by the learned State counsel that the affidavit has not been filed due to personal difficulty of the concerned Officer. It has been seen that the Officers of the State are taking the orders of this Court very lightly. It is not the first incident where the State has sought time on the ground of personal difficulty of the officers. It is observed that it was ordered on 23.09.2025 to file affidavit of Secretary, PWD, but today when the matter is taken up an oral request has been made by the learned State counsel that because of personal difficulty of the concerned officer the affidavit could not be filed. But no application has been moved in this
--	---

Jyoti	regard and only oral request has been made. This type of practice is highly deprecated. List this matter in the next week subject to deposit an amount of Rs. 1,000/- towards token cost in the Registry of this court for defiance of the court order. The said amount shall be transmitted to the Government Multi-Disabled Home, Village Pendri, District- Janjgir- Champa (C.G.) Sd/- (Bibhu Datta Guru) Judge Sd/- (Ramesh Sinha) Chief Justice
-------	---