



CGHC010258862026

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPCR No. 390 of 2026

SMT. ANITA CHANDRA *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

30.07.2026	Heard Shri TK Jha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Tapan Kumar Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Shri Soumya Rai, learned Dy GA for the State. Pursuant to court order dated 15.07.2026, the Superintendent of Police, District-Sakti, Chhattisgarh has filed his personal affidavit. Relevant portion of the said affidavit is reproduced hereunder for ready reference: “16. It is further pertinent to mention that the police officials also verified whether any family member or friends were receiving regular calls from any unknown person or any other individual connected with the missing
------------	--

minor girl child; however, no such information could be ascertained as of the present date.

17. That, during the course of the investigation, and upon examining the available Call Detail Records (CDRs) and other technical evidence pertaining to the missing minor girl child, it was found that the IMEI number of the mobile handset used by the minor girl child, i.e.. 860204064277517, was examined and forwarded to the Cyber Cell, District Sakti, for surveillance and technical analysis. It was further revealed during surveillance that, on 08.07.2026, the IMEI number 860204064277517, which was placed under surveillance, was found to have been used with mobile number 8609247471. On made enquiry the said mobile no it was found that the said mob no. is also switched off and therefore the mobile no. bearing 8609247471 could not be traced.

18. That, the cyber cell was asked to take the call records of the aforesaid mobile no. 8609247471 on looking into the CDR which was given by the cyber cell Sakti and on further analysis one more mob no. bearing 9009706581 was seems to be operated. During further surveillance and technical analysis, it was found that the mobile number 9009706581, was time and again contacted the mobile no. 8609247471 having IMEI number 860204064277517, was located at Pune.

19. That, during the course of the investigation, the Investigating Officer promptly contacted the mobile number which was found to be operating in Pune. Upon calling the said mobile number, the person who received the call refused to disclose his identity. During the

investigation it was found that when the investigation officer called in the no. 9009706581, the aforesaid person not disclosing his identity has stated that while he was working in Jammu in the month of January, 2026, he met the brother in law namely Tijram Yadav and he has also seen the suspected accused namely Sukhlal Yadav but he has seen any minor girl along with Sukhlal Yadav, the said person informed the Investigating Officer that, in the month of January 2026. The aforesaid information was received by the police on 20.07.2026. On further enquiry, which was given by the person having mobile no. 9009706581 who has not disclosed his identity but has given the mobile no. of Tijram Yadav bearing mobile no. 7889799835.

20. That, after receiving the mobile no. of the Tijram Yadav the investigation officer has immediately sent the mobile no 7889799935 to the Cyber cell District-Sakti, the Cyber cell after investigating the aforesaid mobile no. of Tijram Yadav has identified the location of Tijram Yadav upon such identification, it was found that the Tijram Yadav was located in District Badgam, State-Jammu & Kashmir. A copy of the request made to the Cyber cell to give the CDR and location of Mobile no. of Tijram Yadav is being filed and annexed herewith as ANNEXURE D/10.

21. That, on 21.07.2026, the police investigation team proceeded to Jammu & Kashmir and has reported to the Police Station Chadoora, District Baldam and has further requested the local police officials to cooperate in the investigation and further help to find the whereabouts of brother in law namely Tijram Yadav, who is a resident of

Nawapara, Chhattisgarh. A copy of the diary showing Departure of Police Officials to Jammu & Kashmir for investigation is being filed and annexed herewith as ANNEUXRE D/11. During the course of enquiry, Tijram Yadav stated that the suspected accused, Sukhlal Yadav, was also working in Srinagar. However, he did not provide any information regarding the whereabouts or presence of the minor girl child. Tijram Yadav specifically stated that he had seen the minor girl child accompanying the suspected accused, Sukhlal Yadav on only one and two occasions. However, the Tijram also stated that he did not enquired about the same minor girl from his brother in law who is also the suspected accused and has further stated that his brother in law is in Shrinagar in search of work. The investigation team has not returned from Jammu, the official statement of Tijram Yadav who is also the brother in law of the suspected accused could not be taken on record. A copy of the arrival receipt of the police station Chadoora, District-Badgam, Jammu & Kashmir is being filed and annexed herewith as ANNEXURE D/12.

22. That, upon receiving the aforesaid information, the police officials proceeded to Srinagar & Jammu on 24.07.2026 and are continuously making efforts to trace the suspected accused, namely Sukhlal Yadav. Since the suspected accused is not using any mobile number, it has become difficult for the investigating team to ascertain his exact location and place of residence. It is further submitted that the police investigation team, along with other police constables, is still present at Srinagar and is making efforts to trace the suspected accused, Sukhlal

Yadav. The search operation has been conducted at various locations in Srinagar, and further enquiries are still in progress. However, despite the efforts made by the investigating team, the whereabouts of the suspected accused, Sukhlal Yadav, as well as the minor girl child, could not be ascertained till date. It has also been found that there has been no communication between Sukhlal Yadav and the minor girl child through the mobile numbers available with the investigating agency.

23. That, looking into the sensitivity of the matter as well as the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court all the documents are kept in the sealed cover just to hide the identity of the minor missing girl.”

Learned State counsel would submit that efforts are being made to ascertain the physical location of the minor victim girl as well as the suspected accused-Sukhlal Yadav. During the investigation, the police verified whether any family members or friends had been receiving calls from any unknown person connected with the missing minor girl, but no such information was found. Further analysis of the minor's mobile phone IMEI (860204064277517) revealed that it was used with mobile number 8609247471 on 08.07.2026. The matter was referred to the Cyber Cell for surveillance; however, the said mobile number was found

switched off and could not be traced. He would further submit that on 24.07.2026, the police team proceeded to Srinagar and Jammu to trace the suspected accused, Sukhlal Yadav. As he is not using any mobile number, his location could not be ascertained. Further, no communication between Sukhlal Yadav and the minor girl was found through the mobile numbers available with the investigating agency. The only difficulty with the Police team is that either the missing minor girl, or the suspected are not using their mobile phones, so that their exact location can be traced out. Despite continuous search operations and enquiries at various locations in Srinagar, neither the accused nor the missing minor girl has been traced.

Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner would submit that, though the location of the suspected accused is stated to be in Jammu and Kashmir, the police team is unable to make any meaningful progress in tracing

or recovering them.

In view of the above, let the matter be listed again on 18th August, 2026, by which date the Superintendent of Police, Sakti is directed to file a fresh personal affidavit regarding the progress of the investigation for tracing the missing minor girl and the suspected accused.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

padma