



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 3981 of 2024

SMT. SANGEETA YADAV **versus** THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

04/09/2024	Mr. Rishikant Mahobia, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Praveen Das, Dy. A.G. with Mr. Ajay Pandey, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1 to 5. Mr. Mayank Chandrakar, counsel for respondents No. 6 to 8. Learned counsel for respondents No. 6 to 8 submits that he has filed reply pleading that respondent -electricity department have taken steps on the application submitted by the petitioner to provide electricity connection. Official of the respondent -department also went on the spot to provide line from the existing electricity connection to the petitioner. However villagers/ local residents have raised objection and agitation upon which respondents No. 6 to 8 have submitted an application before the concerned police
--------------------------	---

station. When the official of electricity department along with police officials went on spot, agitation is made by the female members of local residents and accordingly panchama was prepared. Respondents No. 6 to 8 have further written letter to the concerned police station to provide female police officials also which is pending consideration. He submits that except the agitation and obstruction made by local residents, there is no other technical objection for the department in providing electricity connection.

Mr. Praveen Das, learned Dy. Advocate General appearing for the State submits that in view of submission made by learned counsel for respondents No. 6 to 8 he will seek instruction from the concerned officials and make his submission on the next date of hearing. He, however, submits that appropriate steps will be taken on the request/application submitted on behalf of respondents No. 6 to 8.

In view of submission of learned counsel for the respective parties list this case in the week after next.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Praveen