



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CONT No. 942 of 2025

M/S RAHUL CONSTRUCTIONS **versus** SHRI BASAVARAJU S.
SECRETARY

Order on Board

10/04/2026	Ms. Surya Kawalkar Dangi, counsel for petitioner. Mr. Harsh Mander Rastogi, counsel for respondent No.3. Heard. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that vide letter dated 20.02.2026, petitioner was directed to appear in the office so that spot inspection can be conducted, however, petitioner has not submitted reply to the letter within time. He also pointed out that this submission of counsel for respondent is also taken note of by this Court in its order dated 24.02.2026 and according to direction issued by this Court one extra copy of letter dated 20.02.2026 was handed over to the counsel for petitioner herein but even then applicant/petitioner did not appear to get the spot inspection. Learned counsel for applicant/petitioner would submit
-------------------	--

that in the letter dated 20.02.2026, there is no mention of the spot inspection proceedings is to be conducted by respondent. She also submits that reply to the letter has been submitted before the respondent authorities.

At this state, learned counsel for respondent No.3 would submit that reply is submitted only on 20.03.2026.

Be that as it may, the facts in the writ petition is that petitioner therein is claiming for the amount towards work done by petitioner/company.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submission of learned counsel for respective parties, Court has directed to respondent/Municipal Council therein/respondent No.2 to examine and verify the claim of petitioner and if the work has been done and there is no dispute towards the said work, the payment should be released with respect to Work Order No.901, 915 and 901. So far as the work order No.909 is concerned, respondent No.2 therein was directed to verify the claim of petitioner therein by making spot inspection in presence of petitioner.

In the aforementioned facts of the case, the parties before this Court is directed to appear on the spot where the work allegedly has been done by applicant/petitioner pursuant to Work Order No.909 on 21.04.2026 at 11.AM.

So far as the dispute with respect to the Work Order No.915, respondent No.2 in the writ petitioner shall consider the reply submitted by applicant/petitioner herein and take decision by speaking order.

The applicant shall submit fresh reply with regard to Work Order No.919 within period of 10 days from today and if such reply is submitted, respondent shall consider the and take decision on the same by speaking order.

List this case in the week commencing 4th of May, 2026.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram