



2026:CGHC:10336

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CRMP No. 1811 of 2019**

Smt. Rekha Mishra W/o Durga Shankar Mishra Aged About 58 Years R/o Near Maharani Hospital Balaji Ward Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner**versus**

1. Vasudev S/o Late Mahdev R/o Village And Post Tusel Tahsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.
2. Balwant Singh S/o Ajmer Singh R/o Danteshwari Ward Jagdalpur, Tahsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.
3. Sujeet Kumar Sena S/o Late Krishn Sena R/o Sanjay Nagar, Bodhghat Colony, Jagdalpur, Tahsil Jagdapur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.
4. O.P. Dhabai (Then Tehsildar) Tahsildar Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.
5. Patwari Narendra Kumar Soni (Then Patwari) R/o Kumharpara, Behind Jamal Mill Lane (Gali) Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioner	: None.
For Respondent No. 1 to 3	: Mr. Vikash A. Shrivastava, Adovocate.
For Respondent No. 5	: Mr. Aniket Verma, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Jitendra Pali, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****27.02.2026**

1. None appears nor is any representation made on behalf of the petitioner to press this petition when the matter is called out for hearing.

Digitally
signed by
ABHISHEK
SHRIVAS
Date:
2026.02.28
10:40:37
+0530

ABHISHEK
SHRIVAS

2. Heard Mr. Vikash A. Shrivastava, learned counsel, appearing for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Mr. Aniket Verma, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of Mr. Jitendra Pali, learned counsel, for the respondent No. 5.

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following relief:

"It is therefore, prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to set aside the impugned order dated 30.11.2018 (Annexure P-1), passed by Second Additional Session Judge Jagdalpur District Bastar (C.G.), in Criminal Revision No.5/2018, arising out of the order dated 22.11.2017 passed by the learned CJM Jagdalpur District Bastar in Criminal Complaint case no. Unregistered, in the interest of justice."

4. From a perusal of the order-sheet dated 13.02.2026 passed by this Court, it transpires that the matter was adjourned at the request of the son of the petitioner, as the petitioner and her husband were seeking medical treatment at Visakhapatnam. Accordingly, this Court, after due consideration, adjourned the matter for today with a direction to the petitioner to engage counsel of her choice.

5. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, no counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioner to contest the present petition.

6. In view of the above circumstance, it appears that petitioner has no interest in pursuing the matter.

7. Accordingly, the present Cr.M.P. is **dismissed** on this ground alone.

8. Office is direct to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Judge