



2026:CGHC:10390



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 6159 of 2025

Aurelia Bara S/o Manoj Kumar Ekka Aged About 45 Years Presently Posted As Lecturer (Economics) At Higher Government High School Ghanghari, Block Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh ... **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Director Directorate Of Public Instructions (D.P.I.) Through Its Director, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Collector District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

4 - Joint Director Division Surguja, Chhattisgarh

5 - District Education Officer District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

...Respondents

(Cause title is taken from the CIS)

Order Sheet

02.07.2025	Shri Mateen Siddiqui, Advocate for the Petitioner. Shri Akhilesh Kumar, GA for the State. Learned counsel for the State prays for and is granted three
------------	--



weeks' time to file reply in the matter.

Also heard on IA No.1 of 2025 which is an application for grant of interim relief/stay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is posted as Lecturer (LB) (Economics) at Govt. High School, Ghanghari, Block Ambikapur, District-Surguja, CG. The petitioner has been transferred under the Rationalization Instructions dated 02.08.2024 to Government Higher Secondary School, Gattasilli, Nagari, District-Dhamtari, CG vide order dated 12.06.2025. He would further submit that the name of the petitioner does not find place in District Level as well as Divisional Level scrutiny list but find place in State Level scrutiny list prepared for rationalization of the Lecturers. Therefore, there is violation of Clause-6 of the Rationalization Instructions dated 02.08.2024.

Learned counsel appearing for the State concedes that there are three Lecturers in the same school for Economics/Geography whereas sanctioned post is only one, yet the name of petitioner could not find place in District as well as Divisional Level scrutiny list and therefore the petitioner may file suitable representation before the



concerned authority and till then status quo be maintained.

Considering the submissions made by the counsel for the parties, in particular the submissions made by the State counsel, the petitioner is directed to make representation before the concerned authority within one week from today and thereafter the authority, in turn, shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a further period of two weeks from the date of receipt of representation. Till then, purely as an interim measure let status quo, as it exists today, so far as present place of posting of petitioner is concerned, be maintained by the parties till the next date of hearing.

List this case in the week commencing 21st July, 2025.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
JUDGE