



CGHC010464782025

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 12489 of 2025

SANDEEP LAKRA versus UNION OF INDIA

WPS/5351/2026,WPS/5349/2026,WPS/5346/2026,WPS/2428/2026,
WPS/5038/2026,WPS/5035/2026,WPS/5023/2026,WPS/13637/2025,
WPS/13625/2025,WPS/13620/2025,WPS/13611/2025,WPS/13457/2025,
WPS/13374/2025,WPS/13371/2025,WPS/13358/2025,
WPS/13354/2025,WPS/13353/2025,WPS/13352/2025,WPS/13351/2025,
WPS/13350/2025,WPS/13348/2025,WPS/13347/2025,
WPS/13344/2025,WPS/13343/2025,WPS/13342/2025,WPS/13341/2025,
WPS/13340/2025,WPS/13337/2025,WPS/13335/2025,
WPS/13317/2025,WPS/13314/2025,WPS/13310/2025,WPS/13303/2025,
WPS/13302/2025,WPS/13300/2025,WPS/13293/2025,
WPS/13292/2025,WPS/13291/2025,WPS/13290/2025,WPS/13287/2025,
WPS/13284/2025,WPS/13283/2025,WPS/13282/2025,
WPS/13279/2025,WPS/13273/2025,WPS/13271/2025,WPS/13268/2025,
WPS/13101/2025,WPS/13087/2025,WPS/13082/2025,
WPS/13077/2025,WPS/13046/2025,WPS/13038/2025,WPS/13033/2025,
WPS/13014/2025,WPS/13012/2025,WPS/13009/2025,
WPS/13007/2025,WPS/13004/2025,WPS/13003/2025,WPS/13000/2025,
WPS/12998/2025,WPS/12997/2025,WPS/12995/2025,
WPS/12992/2025,WPS/12989/2025,WPS/12988/2025,WPS/12984/2025,
WPS/12983/2025,WPS/12981/2025,WPS/12980/2025,
WPS/12979/2025,WPS/12978/2025,WPS/12977/2025,WPS/12975/2025,
WPS/12974/2025,WPS/12973/2025,WPS/12971/2025,

WPS/12970/2025,WPS/12968/2025,WPS/12965/2025,WPS/12964/2025,
WPS/12960/2025,WPS/12958/2025,WPS/12956/2025,
WPS/12871/2025,WPS/12858/2025,WPS/12855/2025,WPS/12852/2025,
WPS/12851/2025,WPS/12850/2025,WPS/12848/2025,
WPS/12843/2025,WPS/12842/2025,WPS/12841/2025,WPS/12831/2025,
WPS/12830/2025,WPS/12804/2025,WPS/12793/2025,
WPS/12768/2025,WPS/12764/2025,WPS/12763/2025,WPS/12754/2025,
WPS/12749/2025,WPS/12746/2025,WPS/12744/2025,
WPS/12743/2025,WPS/12740/2025,WPS/12739/2025,WPS/12738/2025,
WPS/12730/2025,WPS/12728/2025,WPS/12721/2025,
WPS/12720/2025,WPS/12717/2025,WPS/12714/2025,WPS/12712/2025,
WPS/12711/2025,WPS/12708/2025,WPS/12706/2025,
WPS/12703/2025,WPS/12701/2025,WPS/12699/2025,WPS/12698/2025,
WPS/12685/2025,WPS/12665/2025,WPS/12621/2025,
WPS/12612/2025,WPS/12596/2025

Order Sheet

17/08/2026	Ms. Deepali Pandey with Mr. Sukhi Ram Sahu, counsel for respective petitioners. Ms. Pragya Pandey, Ms. Mandwi Bhardwaj & Mr. Ravikar Patel, Central Government Counsel for the respective respondents/Union of India. Mr. Sanjeev Pandey, Dy. A.G., Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, G.A., Mr. Ram Narayan Sahu, Dy. G.A. for the State. In pursuance of direction issued by this Court, Mr.

Arun	Ritesh Motghare, Assistant Director is present before this Court and would submit that the 60% financial assistance is being provided by the Central Government and 40% financial assistant is being provided by the State Government. In view of the above, the Secretary Finance is directed to clarify what is difficulty in carrying out the guidelines given by the Central Government with regard to increase of 10% after one year of service. The Director, Agriculture is also directed to clarify why the Sanvida Bharti Niyam has been applied on these petitioners as they are the employees of the project and their services will be in force till life of the project. Let these factual matrix be clarified by the Secretary Finance in coordination with Director Agriculture by way of an affidavit by Director Agriculture on or before the next date of hearing. List all the cases on 1st September, 2026. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) Judge
------	--