



2026:CGHC:30028



CGHC010251142026



2026:CGHC:30028

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 6054 of 2026

Deepchand Yadav @ Seetaram S/o Late Shri Ramjiyavan Yadav Aged About 36 Years R/o Village Rapa, P.S. Kotadol, Distt. Manendragarh Chirmiri Bharatpur, M.C.B., Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Kotadol, Distt. Manendragarh Chirmiri Bharatpur (M.C.B.), Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Matin Siddiqui, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Danish Ahmad Siddiqui, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Mr. Rohitashav Singh, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order On Board

15.07.2026

1. The victim and her parents appeared before this Court today through virtual mode from the concerned DLSA and expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant.
2. This is the second bail application filed u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime



No.59/2025 registered at Police Station Kotadol, Distt.

Manendragarh - Chirmiri - Bharatpur (M.C.B.), Chhattisgarh for offence punishable under Sections 65(1), 351(2) of BNS and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.

3. The first bail application of applicant was dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to revive the same after examination of the victim, vide order dated 13.03.2026 in MCRC No.1940 of 2026.
4. As per the prosecution case, the applicant is alleged to have forcibly committed sexual intercourse with the minor victim. On report being lodged in this regard by the father of the victim, the applicant was arrested on 25.11.2025.
5. Learned counsel for applicant submits that now the victim and her parents have been examined before the trial Court and they have not supported the case of prosecution and turned hostile. The victim and her parents have also expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant. He further submits that out of total 23 prosecution witnesses, only 6 including the victim and her parents have been examined till date, the applicant is in jail since 25.11.2025 and there is no likelihood of the trial to be concluded at the earliest. Hence, considering all these facts, the applicant may be released on bail.
6. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, opposing the bail application submits that the victim was minor at the time of



incident and considering the nature of offence, the applicant does not deserve to be released on bail.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
8. Taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the court statements of the victim and her parents and also considering the facts that the victim and her parents have expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant, there are total 23 witnesses, out of which only 6 witnesses have been examined so far, the applicant is in jail since 25.11.2025 and there is all possibility of the trial taking considerable time for its conclusion, this Court is of the opinion that a case for grant of bail to the applicant has been made out.
9. Accordingly, the application for grant of bail is **allowed**. It is directed that the present Applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date to be given to him by the said Court till completion of the trial.
10. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
JUDGE