



CGHC010246002026

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 3712 of 2026**GRAM PANCHAYAT SONHAT **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**Order Sheet**

16/07/2026	Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. R.K. Gupta, Addl. A.G. for the State. Issue notice to the respondents. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2/State. Therefore, issuance of notice to him is dispensed with. Issue notice to respondents No. 3 to 5 on payment of process fee through ordinary as well as speed post. PF and copies within seven days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that proceedings under Section 89 of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code are presently pending consideration before the concerned Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue). It is submitted that, in the said proceedings, the learned SDO had specifically called for a report from the concerned Tahsildar to facilitate adjudication of the matter. However, without submitting the requisite report to the SDO and without awaiting the outcome of the pending proceedings, the Tahsildar proceeded to pass the impugned order dated 08.06.2026. It is contended that the action of the Tahsildar is wholly arbitrary, contrary to the pending proceedings before the competent authority, and has the effect of frustrating the adjudicatory process initiated under Section 89 of the Code. Accordingly, it is urged that the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 08.06.2026 deserve to be stayed during the pendency of the present writ petition.

On the other hand, learned State counsel

opposes the prayer for grant of interim relief.

Heard on I.A. No. 1 of 2026, an application seeking grant of ad interim relief/stay.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking into consideration the prima facie material available on record, particularly the fact that the proceedings under Section 89 of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code are admittedly pending before the competent authority and that the impugned order appears to have been passed by the Tahsildar without first submitting the report sought by the Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue), this Court is of the prima facie opinion that the petitioner has made out a case for grant of interim protection. Accordingly, purely as an interim measure and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it is directed that the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 08.06.2026 is hereby stayed till the next date of hearing.

In the meantime, learned counsel for the State is directed to seek instruction in the matter and to file

status of the proceeding pending before the concerned SDO and place the same before this Court on or before 27th July, 2026.

List this case on 29th July, 2026.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge