



2026:CGHC:33962



CGHC010245122026



2026:CGHC:33962

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 5922 of 2026

Bharat Verma S/o Radheshyam Verma Aged About 27 Years R/o Village
Kadar, P.S. Chakarbhata Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

... Applicant(s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Chakarbhata, Distt. Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant(s) : Mr. Devesh Chandra Verma, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

04/08/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 301/2026 registered at Police Station Chakarbhata, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 333 of the BNS.



2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the victim, a married woman residing in Village Kadar, had previously been acquainted with the applicant, Bharat Verma, and they were in a relationship before her marriage in 2025. After her marriage, she stopped communicating with the applicant, following which he allegedly abused and harassed her whenever they met. On 16.05.2026 at about 12:25 PM, while the victim was at her house, the applicant allegedly trespassed into her residence, threatened to kill her, and assaulted her with a folding knife, inflicting multiple stab injuries on her abdomen, elbow, and wrist. On hearing her cries, her father-in-law arrived with a stick, whereupon the applicant fled from the spot. Thereafter, the victim lodged the FIR. During investigation, the alleged weapon of offence, a blood-stained folding knife, was recovered at the instance of the applicant. Consequently, Crime No. 301/2026 was registered at Police Station Chakrabhatha for the offences punishable under Sections 109 and 333 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and the investigation is in progress. Hence, the bail application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in offence in question. He further submits that the injuries sustained by the victim/injured is found to be simple in nature. The applicant is in jail since 17.05.2026, the applicant has no criminal antecedent, charge-sheet has been filed and the trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion. Therefore, he prays for grant of



bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed in the present case. She further submits that the applicant allegedly criminally trespassed into the house of the victim and, with the intention of causing her death, assaulted her repeatedly with a knife, inflicting multiple stab injuries on her abdomen and hands, but could not dispute the fact that the injured sustained by the victim/injured is found to be simple in nature.
5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, period of detention of the applicant since 17.05.2026, the fact that though the applicant allegedly criminally trespassed into the house of the victim and, with the intention of causing her death, assaulted her repeatedly with a knife, inflicting multiple stab injuries on her abdomen and hands, but considering the fact that the injuries sustained by the victim/injured is found to be simple in nature and the applicant has no criminal antecedent, further the charge-sheet has been filed, as such, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
7. Accordingly, the application is **allowed**.
8. Let the Applicant-**Bharat Verma**, involved in Crime No. 301/2026



registered at Police Station Chakarbhata, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 333 of the BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse



2026:CGHC:33962

of liberty of bail and proceed against him in
accordance with law.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial
Court for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Akhil