



CGHC010240912026

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WP227 No. 747 of 2026****SMT. HANI PANDEY *versus* ADARSH PANDEY****Order Sheet**

07/07/2026	Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, Senior Advocate, along with Ms. Shivangi Agrawal, learned counsel for the petitioner. Issue notice to the respondent on payment of process fee, as per rules. Also heard on I.A. No. 01/2026, which is an application for grant of stay. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the non-applicant before the learned Family Court, where the respondent is prosecuting an application under Section 13(1)(i-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. On 28.04.2026, the documents filed by the applicant were allowed.

However, the documents filed by the non-applicant, i.e., the petitioner, along with the application under Order 8 Rule 1 CPC, have been rejected on the ground that the source of the documents has not been disclosed by the non-applicant and, therefore, they are not admissible in evidence. He would further submit that, at this stage, the documents are only to be taken on record and their admissibility and evidentiary value are to be decided at an appropriate stage, but the learned trial Court cannot refuse to take the documents on record.

Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, purely as an interim measure, the further proceedings of Case No. 881/2023, pending before the learned 4th Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Raipur, shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

It is also made clear that if the petitioner fails to pay the process fee for the respondent, the interim order shall lose its efficacy without reference to the Bench.

List this case after service of notice upon the respondent.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge