



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 3244 of 2023

ANIL KUMAR TOMAR *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

20/08/2024	Mr. Achyut Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. P. Das, Dy. Advocate General for the State. Mr. Harshwardhan Agrawal, Advocate for respondent No.5. Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate assisted by Ms. Kajal Chandra, Advocate for the respondent No.7. Heard. Learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that respondent No.5 has written letter to respondent No.4 for providing police protection for construction of ramp over big portion of road, which is still awaiting. Learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.7 vehemently opposes submission of learned counsel for respondent No.5 and submits that apartment in which petitioners are residing is situated over different pieces of land and it is a different project, therefore, petitioner society

cannot use the road of respondent No.7-society. However, from the pleadings made in writ petition as also reply, use of road by petitioner, though temporarily, is not in dispute, but action is taken by respondent No.7 for obstructing road by erecting a precast wall only when some dispute is raised by some residents of Shivam Enclave.

List this petition in the week commencing 30.9.2024.

**Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge**

SYED
ROSHAN
ZAMIR
ALI

Digitally
signed by
SYED
ROSHAN
ZAMIR
ALI

roshan/-