



CGHC010228392026



2026:CGHC:35082

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 6055 of 2026**

Rajdeep Singh Bhatia S/o Baljeet Singh Bhatia Aged About 28
Years Caste Punjabi, R/o Budhwari Para, Ward No. 13,
Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

--- Applicant**Versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police
Station - Civil Line, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

--- Respondent(s)

For Applicant : Mr. Ankush Borkar, Advocate and Mr.
Veer Verma, Advocate

For Non-applicant/State : Mr. S.S. Baghel, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****10.08.2026**

1. The Applicant has preferred this 1st Bail Application under
Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in

connection with Crime No. 44/2025 registered at Police Station – Civil Line, District: Raipur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 317 (2), 317 (4), 317 (5), 111, 3 (5) of B.N.S.

2. That, the case of the prosecution, in brief, is that it is alleged that the applicant received monetary benefits in exchange for providing bank accounts belonging to four bankers and one Pankaj Sharma. The offence allegedly committed by the applicant pertains to cyber fraud. Upon receipt of information regarding the cyber fraud through the Cyber Crime Reporting Portal and during the course of investigation, it was found that the bank account and the registered mobile number involved in the alleged offence were in the name of the applicant. On the basis thereof, Crime No. 44/2025 was registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur, under Sections 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 111 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and investigation was initiated. During the course of investigation, the applicant and other co-accused persons were arrested. Upon completion of the investigation, the charge-sheet was filed before the competent Court. A copy of the FIR bearing Crime No. 44/2025 is being filed herewith and marked as Annexure A/2. Hence, the present bail application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant

has been falsely implicated in the case. It is further submitted that a similarly situated co-accused, namely Harmeet Singh @ Yashmit, has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 15006/2025 vide order dated 19.11.2025 and further the fact that similarly situated co-accused namely Om Arya has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 in MCRC No. 9388/2025. In view of the principle of parity, learned counsel prays that the applicant may also be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that charge-sheet has not been filed and considering the nature and gravity of the allegations and the material available on record, it is prayed that the present bail application deserves to be rejected.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegations levelled against the applicant and the fact that a similarly situated co-accused, namely Harmeet Singh @ Yashmit, has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 15006/2025 vide order dated

19.11.2025 and further the fact that similarly situated co-accused namely Om Arya has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 in MCRC No. 9388/2025 and further in the present case, charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court therefore, without further commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to grant bail to the present applicant on parity.

7. Let Applicant- **Rajdeep Singh Bhatia** involved in Crime No. 44/2025 registered at Police Station – Civil Line, District: Raipur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 317 (2), 317 (4), 317 (5), 111, 3 (5) of B.N.S. be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to

appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice