



2026:CGHC:9766



2026:CGHC:9766

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4787 of 2018

Anil Kumar Das S/o S/o Shri Paras Nath Das Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Jhumarapara, Post Bhitikala, Ambikapur District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Health And Family Welfare Department, Capital Complex, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur , Police Station Rakhi, Civil And Revenue District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

2 - Divisional Joint Director And Superintendent , Medical Education , Surguja Division, Ambikapur Chhattisgarh.

3 - Dr. A K Jaiswal Posted As Hospital Joint Director And Superintendent In Medical College, Ambikapur District Surguja Chhattisgarh.

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Advocate
For Res./State	:	Mr. Rishabhchandra Deo Singh, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput

Order On Board

24/02/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayer:-



“10. That this Hon’ble court may kindly be pleased to call for relevant records from Respondents.

10.2 That this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order or direction quashing the suspension order dated 23.05.2018, by which petitioner has been suspended and further his head quarter has been changed from Ambikapur to Balrampur.

10.3. That this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to issuance a writ quashing the initiation of departmental enquiry initiated by undated letter by respondent No. 3, served on petitioner through Establishment In-charge of Ambikapur.

10.4. That this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other ancillary relief deems fit in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the so far as the relief clause No. 10.2. with regard to suspension of the petitioner is concerned, has become infructuous as the petitioner has been reinstated in the services. He further submits that on 26.07.2018, this Court as an interim measure permitted the respondent to proceed with the departmental enquiry however, directed that no final order shall be passed without the leave of the Court. He submits that in light of the above the departmental proceedings carried out against the petitioner was continued and as per his information enquiry report has also been submitted. He again submits that without there being any evidence, witnesses examined, adverse finding has been recorded in the said departmental enquiry therefore, the petitioner intends to challenge the same by



filing a fresh writ petition and thus, this writ petition may be disposed of extending the interim order dated 26.07.2010 to the period of 30 days.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this writ petition the petitioner has challenged the chargesheet without any plausible reason.

4. Be that as it may, this writ petition is disposed of at this stage with a continuation of the interim order dated 26.07.2018 in this writ petition for a period of 30 days from today i.e. **till 26th of March, 2026.**

5. With the aforesaid observation(s), this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
(**Sachin Singh Rajput**)
Judge

Ami