



2026:CGHC:24800



2026:CGHC:24800

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 881 of 2026

Lakshya Soni S/o Bhupendra Soni, Aged About 21 Years R/o Gandhi Ward,
Sonar Para, Mungeli, Police Station Mungeli District- Mungeli Chhattisgarh
... **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Sakri District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
... **Respondent**

For Applicant	:	Dr. Prachi Diwan, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Mr. Priyank Rathi, Panel Lawyer
For Objector	:	Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

18.06.2026

1. This first anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.435/2026 registered at Police Station – Sakri District- Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) the BNS.



2. The prosecution story in brief is that, the complainant Pratik Kumar

Soni made a written complaint in Police Station Sakri that accused is running one company BEATKINGSMM.COM which provides electronic bike, car, motor in minimum price, after seeing in about accused's company in social media complainant contacted with the accused and during discussion accused said MG HECTOR whose market price is Rs. 24 lakhs which I will get for you 12.22 lakhs which is much less than the market rate, then he paid Rs. 12,22,000/- for MG HECTOR and Rs. 7,60,000/- for THAR and Rs. 6,11,000/- for SWIFT CAR but he did not provide car and also did not return money, after 30 days accused provide a SWIFT CAR after depositing Rs. Lakh in Satya Maruti after some days SBI Branch Railway demanded loan amount through phone then he know accused committed cheating with him, thus accused committed cheating 28,93,000/- and provided 2 vehicles through bank finance, as per the said report crime was registered against the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that no *prima facie* case is made out against the applicant from the allegations contained in the FIR. The applicant and the complainant are close relatives and longstanding family disputes between them are already pending adjudication before the competent Civil Court in Civil Suit Class A/25/2021 (Bhupendra Soni Vs. Dharmendra Soni and Others) before the Court of II Civil Judge, Senior Division, Mungeli. It is further submitted that the complainant had already received delivery of the Swift Car in October, 2024 and the Thar vehicle was obtained through Shivnath Automobile. There is no document or material on



record to establish that the applicant had ever assured the complainant of providing any vehicle at a lower price or had dishonestly induced him in any manner. The vehicles in question were financed in the names of the complainant's father and wife, which could not have been done without their knowledge and consent. The present criminal proceedings have been initiated only to pressurize the applicant in connection with the pending civil dispute and to give a purely civil dispute a criminal colour. No incriminating material has been collected against the applicant during investigation. The applicant is a young man aged about 21 years, belongs to a respectable family, has deep roots in society, and there is no likelihood of his absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence or influencing witnesses. The applicant reserves his right to raise additional grounds at the time of hearing and undertakes to abide by all such terms and conditions as may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court while granting anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the objector opposed the anticipatory bail application of the applicant.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, the nature of the dispute, and the material available in the case diary, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present applicant.



7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant – **Lakshya Soni**, on executing a personal bond and one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

- (a) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.
- (b) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.
- (c) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.
- (d) the applicant and the surety shall submit a copy of his adhaar card along with a coloured postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.
- (e) he shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE