



2026:CGHC:23542

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4462 of 2026

Sanjay Kumar Nayak S/o Late Budhram Nayak Aged About 32 Years
R/o Ward No. 07, Shankarpur, Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon
(C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

- 1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
- 2** - Director, Urban Administration And Development Department, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
- 3** - Joint Director, Urban Administration And Development Department, Regional Office, Durg, District - Durg (C.G.)
- 4** - Commissioner Nagar Palik Nigam, Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Ankush Soni, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1: to 3/State	:	Shri Sabya Sachi Choubey, Govt. Advocate.
Respondent No.4	:	Shri Sandeep Dubey along with Shri Manas Vajpai, Advocates.



Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi, J

Order on Board

02/06/2026

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed by the petitioner seeking following relief:

“10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and grant compassionate appointment to the petitioner in an appropriate post commensurate with his qualifications, within a stipulated time frame of 3 months, in light of the demise of his mother Late Meera Nayak on 15.02.2017 and his application dated 10.01.2025.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to relax the time limit under Rule 16 of the Consolidated Revised Guidelines, 2013, if necessary, and expedite the process through the Administrative Department and Director, Urban Administration and Development Department, considering the humanitarian aspects and without further delay.

10.3 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief, as it may deems fit and appropriate.”

02. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that on account of death of petitioner's mother, brother of the petitioner filed an application for grant of compassionate appointment but the same was rejected on 4.8.2018. Thereafter, the petitioner filed an application dated 10.1.2025 (Annexure P/3) before respondent No.4 along with all the necessary documents for grant of compassionate appointment but



the same has not been decided yet. Hence he submits that this petition may be disposed of directing respondent No.4 to decide the application (Annexure P/3) filed by the petitioner within a period specified by this Court.

03. In reply, learned counsel for respondent No.4 would submit that the application (Annexure P/3) filed by the petitioner is under consideration and the same shall be decided in accordance with law.

04. Learned State counsel also extended support to the submission made by learned counsel for respondent No.4.

05. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material placed on record.

06. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by the petitioner, without entering into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the pending application dated 10.1.2025 (Annexure P/3) filed by the petitioner as early as possible, preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

07. With the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of. Interlocutory applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**Sd/
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge**