



2026:CGHC:12728

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 4835 of 2022**

* - Mukesh Singh Dhurve S/o Mohan Singh Dhurve, Aged About 40 Years R/o Math Para, Near Ramjanki Mandir, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham (Chhattisgarh)

... Petitioner**Versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home (Police) Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nawa Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2 - The Director General Of Police, Police Headquarter, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - The Superintendent Of Police, Office Of Superintendent Of Police, Rajnandgaon, District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. D.K. Vishwakarma, Advocate
----------------	---	--------------------------------

For Respondents	:	Mr. Rishabh Chandra Singh Deo, Panel Lawyer
-----------------	---	--

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

17/03/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking the following relief(s):-

"10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/ order, thereby setting-aside/quashing the impugned order dated 13.10.2021 as well as order dated 11.01.2021 and further be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to reinstate the petitioner in service, in accordance with law.

10.2 That, any other relief/order which may deem fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case including award of the costs of the petition may be given."



2. It appears that the petitioner who was Constable in the Police Department has challenged the Article of Charges issued by the Disciplinary Authority dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P/1) and 11.01.2021 (Annexure P/2).
3. It is contended by Mr. Rishabh Chandra Singh Deo that in a full fledged departmental enquiry, penalty of dismissal from service has already been inflicted and said order has not been assailed by the petitioner.
4. Heard.
5. Taking into consideration the fact that the order of dismissal from service has already been passed and it has been placed on record by the respondents alongwith return, therefore, in my opinion, this writ petition is not maintainable. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the said order before the appropriate authority, if so advised.
6. Accordingly, this writ petition is hereby **dismissed**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge