



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 2775 of 2026

1 - Rajesh Ahuja S/o Shri Ramesh Ahuja Aged About 45 Years
Occupation- Business R/o B-80, Near Ssd Square, Kavita Nagar,
Raipur (C.G.)- 492001.

2 - Ramesh Ahuja S/o Shri Peshumal Ahuja Aged About 73 Years
Occupation- Business R/o B-80, Near Ssd Square, Kavita Nagar,
Raipur (C.G.)- 492001.

3 - Vikas Ahuja S/o Shri Ramesh Kumar Ahuja Aged About 50 Years
Occupation- Business R/o B-80, Near Ssd Square, Kavita Nagar,
Raipur (C.G.)- 492001.

4 - Sushila Ahuja W/o Ramesh Ahuja Aged About 70 Years Occupation-
Home Maker R/o B-80, Near Ssd Square, Kavita Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)-
492001.

5 - Priya Ahuja W/o Vikas Kumar Ahuja Aged About 49 Years
Occupation- Home Maker R/o B-80, Near Ssd Square, Kavita Nagar,
Raipur (C.G.)- 492001.

6 - Somya Ahuja W/o Rajesh Ahuja Aged About 43 Years Occupation-
Home Maker, R/o B-80, Near Ssd Square, Kavita Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)-
492001.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - Punjab National Bank Through Its Authorized Officer Anupam Nagar Branch, Distt. Raipur (C.G.).

2 - Collector Cum District Magistrate Tehsil And District Raipur (C.G.).

3 - Additional Tehsildar Tehsil Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.).

4 - Debts Recovery Tribunal Through Its Registrar 2nd And 3rd Floor, Sanchar Vikas Bhavan (Bsnl Building), Near Head Post Office Residency Road, Jabalpur- 482001, M.P.

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

04/06/2026	Mr. Pranjal Agrawal, Counsel for the Petitioners. Mr. Vinay Pandey, Dy. Advocate General for the State/Respondents No.2 and 3. Heard. Issue notice to Respondent No.1. P.F. be paid by ordinary as well as by speed post mode. Also heard on I.A. 02/2026, an application for grant of interim stay. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that vide order dated 20/11/2025 (Annexure P-11), the Additional Tahsildar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.) issued a direction for taking forcible possession of the residential property of

	the petitioners, despite the fact that there is an interim order passed by the Debts Recovery Tribunal (in short “DRT”), Jabalpur in Securitization Application No.1078 of 2025, wherein, a conditional order of stay has been passed, while directing the Petitioners to deposit an amount of Rs.10 Lakhs by 23/02/2026 and another Rs.10 Lakhs by 06/03/2026, while further directing, if the said amount is deposited, the Respondent Bank shall not proceed for taking possession of the secured asset and was further directed that in case, if the Petitioners fails to deposit the aforesaid amount, the Respondent Bank shall be at liberty to proceed with the earlier notice, as per law. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners further submit that they have already been deposited Rs.20 Lakhs, as directed by the concerned DRT, i.e. Rs.11 Lakhs on 21/02/2026 and Rs.9 Lakhs on 06/03/2026, which is apparent from the Bank details vide Annexure P-10. As such, the petitioners have already complied with the order passed by the concerned DRT by depositing Rs.20 Lakhs before the concerned Bank and the stay order dated 19/02/2026 is effective in their favour, however, despite the aforesaid fact, the Respondent-Additional Tehsildar, Raipur is proceeding
--	--

further while issuing a direction for taking forcible possession of the residential property of the Petitioners.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State submits that perhaps the Petitioners could not inform the concerned Tahsildar regarding interim order passed by the DRT as well as there is lack of knowledge regarding the deposition of the amount of Rs.20 Lakhs in compliance of the aforesaid interim order. He further submit that if the Petitioners will approach before the concerned Additional Tahsildar, he will not proceed further in respect of taking possession of the house-in-question.

Considering the aforesaid submission advanced by the learned Counsel for the parties and further considering this fact that at present DRT, Jabalpur, is not functioning as such, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that the concerned Tahsildar shall consider the interim order dated 19/02/2026 passed by DRT, Jabalpur, in Securitization Application No.1078 of 2025 alongwith the documents regarding deposition of Rs.20 Lakhs by the Petitioners and after being satisfied, he is directed not to proceed further as directed by the DRT, Jabalpur, till the next date of hearing.

Mr. Vinay Pandey, Deputy Advocate General, appearing on behalf of Respondent No.3, is directed to apprise the concerned Tahsildar about the passing of this order.

List this matter in the month of July, 2026.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 01, an application for exemption from typed/legible copies, I.A. No.03, an application for urgent hearing during summer vacation and I.A. No.04, an application for urgent hearing stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge**