



2026:CGHC:23588

WPS No. 4481 of 2026



2026:CGHC:23588

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4481 of 2026

- Shankar Prasad Prajapati, S/o- Ishwardin, Aged About 52 Years, R/o- Village Sekhwa, Tahsil Sakola, District- GPM, (C.G.).

... Petitioner

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Ministry Of General Administration Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, C. G.
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Education, Indravati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, C.G.
3. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Tribal Affairs, Indravati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, C.G.
4. Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indravati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, C.G.
5. Commissioner, Tribal Affairs, Indravati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar Raipur (C.G.)
6. Office Of Collector (Tribal Affairs), District GPM, (C.G.)
7. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Affairs, District- GPM, (C.G.).
8. District Education Officer, District- GPM, (C.G.).
9. Jayasudip Dhruw, S/o- Lalman Dhruw, Aged About 23 Years, Hostel Suprintendent Class-D, R/o- Pre Matric Tribal Boys Hostel, Kotmikala, Development Block Pendra, District- GPM, (C.G.).

... Respondents



For Petitioner	:- Mr. Ramayan Dewangan, Advocate.
For State	:- Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Additional Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order On Board

04.06.2026

1. Heard.
2. By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has sought following reliefs:-

“10.1 It is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Court may kindly pleased to issue a writ/order/directions to quash the order dated 13.03.226 (Annexure P/1) & direct the respondents to decide the representation for posting the petitioner on deputation as Hostel Superintendent, in Pre Tribal Boys Hostel, Kotmikala, District GPM within stipulated time period, in the interest of justice.

10.2 Any other relief/reliefs, which this Hon’ble Court may think fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also please be granted to the petitioner.”
3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to file representation before the respondent authorities against the impugned order dated 13.03.2026.
4. Be that as it may, petitioner is at liberty to file representation before the concerned respondent – authorities for redressal of



his grievance against the impugned order dated 13.03.2026 and in turn, respondent – authorities are directed to consider and decide the petitioner’s representation in accordance with law within 45 days’ from the date of filing of representation. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

5. With the aforesaid observation/direction the instant writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
JUDGE

Ankit