



2026:CGHC:17948

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4144 of 2025

- Rosemin Rajesh Xaxa S/o Marcus Xaxa Aged About 53 Years R/o Quarter No. Mig - 40 Nehru Nagar, Tehsil And District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh Presently Posted As Civil Judge Senior Division/ Chief Judicial Magistrate Bijapur District - Bijapur Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Law And Legislative Affairs Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Naya Raipur District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Honble High Court Of Chhattisgarh Through The Registrar General High Court Building Bodri Bilaspur District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
3. Shri Vijendra Sonwani Presently Posted As Chief Judicial Magistrate Tehsil And District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
4. Shri Girish Kumar Mandavi Presently Posted As Chief Judicial Magistrate Tehsil And District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. Shri Anand Borkar Presently Posted As Chief Judicial Magistrate Tehsil And District - Mahasamund Chhattisgarh
6. Pratibha Markam Presently Posted As Chief Judicial Magisstrate Tehsil And District - Narayanpur Chhattisgarh
7. Chandrakala Devi Sahu Presently Posted As Chief Judicial Magistrate Ambagarh Chowki District - Mohla Manpur Ambagarh Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Pranjal Agrawal, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Ms. Anuja Sharma, Dy. Govt. Advocate
For Respondent No. 2	:	Mr. Shashank Thakur, Advocate
For Respondent No. 3 to 7	:	None.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

20/04/2026

1. There is no representation on behalf of Respondents No. 3 to 7.

2. Ld. counsel for Resp. No. 2 during course of arguments has raised objection to the relief as prayed for in this writ petition, stating that seniority of petitioner is fixed based on the order passed High Court dated 21.09.2017. In this order, there is specific mention as to how the seniority of nine Judicial Officers named therein, upon confirmation after completion of extended period of probation is to be fixed.
3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file better constituted petition challenging relevant order passed.
4. In view of above submission made by learned counsel for the respective parties, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE

pwn