



SISTA
SOMAYAJULU

Digitally
signed by
SISTA
SOMAYAJULU

Date:
2026.02.25
18:21:15
+0530

2026:CGHC:9757

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4941 of 2019

Jagdish Prasad Bagde, S/o Late Shri Mitthuji Bagde, Aged about 63 years, Post – Retired Head Clerk, R/o Shankar Nagar, Near Budh Kutty, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Forest, Ministry Mahanadi Bhavan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, (Administrative) Forest Department, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Conservator of Forest, Forest Department, Durg Circle, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Alok Kumar Dewangan, Advocate.
For Respondents : Mr. Sharad Mishra, Panel Lawyer.

Single Bench:-
Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

24/02/2026

1. This Court in the earlier round of litigation, by order dated 20-7-2018 passed in WPS No.4686/2018, directed the State to pass fresh speaking order considering the representation of the petitioners therein for grant of second Kramonati Vetan Maan, however, the

(WPS No.4941/2019)

representation has been rejected in one line order in light of the circulars of the State Government that the petitioners therein are not entitled for any relief.

2. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would be served if the petitioner herein is allowed to make a representation before the competent authority which shall be considered and decided by the said authority in accordance with law.
3. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 18-1-2019 (Annexure P-1) qua the petitioner herein is quashed and the petitioner is permitted to make a representation before the competent authority for grant of second Kramonati Vetan Maan which shall be considered and decided afresh by the said authority in accordance with law, within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
4. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE