



## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

**WPS No. 4454 of 2026**

NATTHU RAM SHORI **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order on Board

<p>02/06/2026</p>	<p>Mr. Praveen Dhurandhar, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Vivek Verma, Govt. Advocate for the State / respondents No. 1 to 4.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 4.</p> <p>Since State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4, therefore, issuance of notice to them, is dispensed with.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondents No. 5 to 8 on payment of process as per rules.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was promoted from the post of "Cashier" to the post of "Assistant Grade-II" in the year 2017 after convening the DPC meeting on 31.03.2017(Annexure P-4) and as per proposal made by President-in-Council of Nagar Panchayat Kota, dated 07.04.2017 (Annexure P-5). Subsequently, merely on the basis of false complaint made by respondent No. 7 – G. Pawan Kumar (Press Reporter), he has been demoted vide impugned order dated 09.05.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No. 3 without affording</p>
-------------------	--

him a reasonable opportunity to be heard.

In reply, learned counsel for the State / respondents No. 1 to 4 would submit that after due enquiry (Annexure P-7) dated 02.11.2023 conducted by team of Government Officials of Janpand Panchayat / Zila Panchayat, Sukuma, District Sukuma (C.G.), it was reported that the petitioner has been promoted against the applicable rules. However, he seeks time to file reply to the application for grant of interim relief.

In response thereto, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Chhattisgarh Gazette dated 12.12.2024, wherein the alleged Rules was published, is not applicable to the petitioner's case, hence, interim protection may be granted to the petitioner.

Time, as prayed for, is granted.

Having considered the aforesaid contention putforth by learned counsel for both the parties; further considering the impugned order (Annexure P-1) dated 09.05.2026, which seems to be highly contradictory from its contents, effect and operation of the impugned order dated 09.05.2026 (Annexure P-1) shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing.

List this case after two weeks.

In view of above, I.A. No. 03/2026, an application for hearing during summer vacation and I.A. No. 04/2026, an application for urgent hearing stand disposed of.

Certified copy, as per rules.

Sd/-  
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)  
Judge

--	--