



2026:CGHC:24013

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****M.Cr.C No.4930 of 2026**

Kamlesh Yadav @ Vimlesh @ Banti Yadav S/o Lalji Yadav Aged About 19 Years R/o Bajrang Chowk, Dhuripara, Mangla, Police Station - Civil Line, Tahsil And District-Bilaspur (C.G)

... Applicant**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Station House Officer, Police Station - Civil Line, Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:Mr. Pawan Kumar Kashyap, Advocate.
Non-Applicant/State	:Mr. Varundendra Mishra, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal**Order on Board****16.06.2026**

1. This is the 1st bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to



the Applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.251/2026 registered at Police Station – Civil Line, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur for the offence under Sections 137(2), 64(2)(m), 87, 65(1) and 296 of BNS 2023 as also under Sections 3/4(2) and 5(L)/6 of POCSO Act.

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 17.02.2026, the mother of the victim lodged a missing report at Police Station Civil Line, Bilaspur, stating that her minor daughter had been missing since 16.02.2026. During the course of investigation, the victim was recovered from the company of the Applicant on 20.03.2026. On the basis of the statement of the victim and other material collected during investigation, the aforesaid offences were registered against the Applicant. Hence, this application.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that there was a love affair between the accused/Applicant and the victim, the Applicant is behind the bars since 23.03.2026 and the trial is likely to take quite some time for its conclusion, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that as per the final report filed by the prosecution, the victim was aged about 14 years and 3 months at the time of the incident. He further submits that the material



collected during investigation *prima facie* discloses the involvement of the Applicant in the commission of the alleged offences and prays for rejection of the bail application.

5. Victim, along with her mother, is present before this Court and raised objection with regard to grant of bail to the Applicant.

6. Having considered the submissions made by learned Counsel for the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, further considering the nature and gravity of offence as also the Court statement of the victim, without further commenting anything on the merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the Applicant on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge