



## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

**SA No. 390 of 2018**

NAVRATAN SINGH **versus** GUHARAM

Order Sheet

|                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>17/02/2026</b> | <p>Shri Rahul Mishra, learned counsel for the appellants.</p> <p>Shri Rajendra Kumar, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Shobhit Koshta, learned counsel for the respondent No. 1.</p> <p>Shri Anand Gupta, learned Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the appellants submits that I.A. No. 02/2025, application under Order 22 Rule 3 of Civil Procedure Code for bringing legal</p> |

heirs of the respondent No. 1 and I.A. No. 03/2025, application under Section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay in filing application under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC, are defective, therefore he wishes to withdraw the I.A. No. 02/2025 and I.A. No. 03/2025.

Accordingly I.A. No. 02/2025 and I.A. No. 03/2025 is dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellant No. 2 has died and there are no other legal heirs to be brought on record. It is further submitted that appellant No. 1, who is the son of appellant No. 2, is already on record; therefore, the name of appellant No. 2 be permitted to be deleted from the array of parties. Accordingly, the I.A. No. 04/2025 is allowed.

Five days' time is granted to the appellants

to carry out necessary amendment in the cause title of the memo of appeal by deleting the name of appellant No. 2.

Meanwhile the learned counsel for the appellant may file proper application to bring the LR's of respondent No. 1.

Sd/-  
**(Bibhu Datta Guru)**  
**Judge**