



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP227 No. 548 of 2025

Kanti Lakra D/o Jakhris Lakra @ Jaggu Aged About 24 Years Village Tarpali, Tahsil
And District Raigarh Chhattisgarh ...

Petitioner(s)

versus

- 1** - Aahilya D/o Lt. Chandramani Aged About 40 Years R/o Tarpali, Tahsil And District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
- 2** - Maniram S/o Hemnath Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Masniya, Tahsil Sakti, District Sakti Chhattisgarh
- 3** - Puniram S/o Hemnath Aged About 33 Years R/o Village Masniya, Tahsil Sakti, District Sakti Chhattisgarh
- 4** - Pushpa (Dead) S/o Hemanth Aged About 21 Years R/o Village Masniya, Tahsil Sakti, District Sakti Chhattisgarh
- 5** - Minor Dinesh S/o Hemnath Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Masniya, Tahsil Sakti, District Sakti Chhattisgarh (Note He Being 28 Years Of Age Is Not Minor And Has Wrongly Been Mentioned As Minor)
- 6** - Sukhram @ Santram (Dead) Through Legal Heirs Nil
- 7** - Gaurishankar Sidar S/o Sukhram @ Santram Aged About 50 Years R/o Village Tarpali, Tahsil And District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
- 8** - Laxminarayan S/o Sukhram @ Santram Aged About 47 Years R/o Village Tarpali, Tahsil And District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
- 9** - Prembai W/o Mehattar Aged About 57 Years R/o Village Masniya, Tahsil Sakti, District Sakti Chhattisgarh
- 10** - Sub Registrar Revenue District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

11 - State Of Chhattisgarh District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from the CIS)

Order Sheet

08.05.2026	Shri Arvind Shrivastava, Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Anumeh Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Ms Supriya Upasane, GA for the State. Issue notice to respondents- 1 to 9 by ordinary mode as well as by speed post. PF and copies as per rules returnable within four weeks. Also heard on IA-1 of 2025, application for grant of interim relief. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that decree has been passed on 31.05.2018 in favour of the petitioner for specific performance of the contract. However, after about more than four years, appeal has been filed by the respondents before the learned appellate court challenging the decree dated 31.05.2018, without properly explaining the delay.

He would further submit that appellant before the learned lower appellate court was duly noticed before the learned trial Court and they made appearance also in the proceeding of the trial. However, the learned trial Court has allowed the application for condonation of delay, without considering the length and proper explanation of the same.

Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, purely as an interim measure, further proceeding of the regular Civil Appeal-59 of 2024 pending before the learned 2nd Additional District Judge, Raigarh shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

It is made clear that if the petitioner fails to make any default in payment of PF for respondents- 1 to 9, interim order lasts its efficacy without reference to the Bench.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
JUDGE