



2026:CGHC:23592-DB



2026:CGHC:23592-DB

NAFR

## **HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

### **CRMP No. 1465 of 2026**

- Sukhwant Singh, S/o Jagir Singh, aged about 65 Years, Resident of House No.G, Kripal Nagar Bhilai Police Station Supela, District- Durg Chhattisgarh,

... **Petitioner****versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh, Through Police of Police Station Supela, Op-Smriti Nagar, District- Durg Chhattisgarh,
- Xyz Nill

... **Respondents**

|                           |   |                                                |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner            | : | Mr. Anil Tawadkar, Advocate.                   |
| For Respondent No.1/State | : | Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, Government Advocate. |
| For Respondent No.2       | : | None.                                          |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Narendra Kumar Vyas &**

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal**

**Order on Board**

**09/06/2026**

- The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (in short, 'BNSS, 2023'), seeking quashment of FIR bearing Crime No. 317/2023 registered at Police Station Supela, District Durg, for offences under Sections 195A, 294, 506, 323, 354 and 34 of Indian Penal Code (for short, 'IPC'), along with all consequential proceedings. The petitioner has also relied upon another FIR bearing Crime No.318/2023



registered on the same date at the instance of the complainant's sister against the petitioner and other accused persons for offences under Sections 354, 294, 506, 323 and 34 of IPC and Sections 8 and 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (in short, 'the POCSO Act, 2012').

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged incident relates to the year 2018 and the FIR has been lodged after a delay of about five years. However, the record shows that the prosecution evidence is almost completed and the trial is at the final stage. Therefore, at this stage, it would not be appropriate for this Court to interfere in the matter.
3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that no case for interference is made out. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. The learned trial Court shall proceed in accordance with law on the basis of the evidence and material available on record.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Narendra Kumar Vyas)**  
Judge

Sd/-

**(Radhakishan Agrawal)**  
Judge